

EFFECT OF SOURCES OF NUTRIENTS AND PACKAGING MATERIALS ON SHELF LIFE OF BOTTLE GOURD FRUITS (*LAGENARIA SICERARIA* (MOL.) STANDL.) AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE

HEMWANT SINGH¹, SNS CHAURASIA, SUDHIR SINGH, DK SINGH¹ AND AASTIK JHA

Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi-221 305, India

¹Department of Horticulture, U.P. Autonomous College, Varanasi- India

Summary

An experiment was conducted to study change in the quality of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (Mol.) Standl.), fruits stored at ambient room temperature under different packaging materials at Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi during summer season of 2008 and 2009. The green and tender fruits of bottle gourd variety cv. Kashi Ganga of the same anthesis date was selected for study and packaged in different types of packaging materials like low density polyethylene (LDPE, 40 x 30 cm) pouches, cardboard boxes (45 x 30 x 20 cm), jute bags (45 x 30 cm), and news paper and kept at ambient room temperature (25 ± 2°C, 72.09-84.0% RH). It was observed, that all the packaging materials were significantly effective for improving the quality parameters and shelf life of bottle gourd as compared to unpacked bottle gourd fruits. Physiological loss in weight in stored bottle gourd fruits was significantly low (18.12%) in LDPE pouches as compared to jute bags (21.25%) after 12 days of storage. Similarly the spoilage percentage was also significantly less in jute bags and LDPE pouches as compared to other packaging materials. The total soluble solids (TSS) content increased with increasing the storage period of fruit in all packaging materials whereas, the titratable acidity and ascorbic acid contents were decreased. The maximum (5.72%) TSS was recorded under with T₁₂ (NPK 60 : 30 : 30 kg/ha + vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixtures) with news paper packaging after 12 days of storage. The minimum (0.13% as citric acid) acidity was recorded in T₁₃ (NPK 60: 30:30 kg/ha + vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture) after 12 days of storage and maximum (7.16 mg/100g) vitamin c was noted in T7 (NPK 60: 30:30 kg/ha + Poultry manure @ 5 t/ha) during 3 days of storage in LDPE pouches.

सारांश

लौकी की विभिन्न प्रकार के पैकिंग में कमरे के तापमान पर भण्डारित करके विभिन्न अवधि के उपरान्त फलों में हो रहे बदलाव को ज्ञात करने के लिए भारतीय सब्जी अनुसंधान संस्थान, वाराणसी में वर्ष 2008 व 2009 में लौकी की किस्म काशीगंगा के उपर एक प्रयोग किया गया और भण्डारण के लिए एक ही उम्र के फलों को विभिन्न प्रकार के पैकिंग मटेरियल जैसे एल.डी.पी.ई. पौच, कार्ड बोर्ड वाक्स, जूट बैग व समाचार पत्र में पैक करके रखा गया। प्रयोगों से यह देखा गया कि फलों में सामान्य की अपेक्षा सभी प्रकार के पैकिंगमटेरियल का अच्छा प्रभाव रहा। भण्डारण अवधि 3, 6, 9 व 12 दिनों के उपरान्त विभिन्न गुणों का जाँच करने के उपरान्त यह देखा गया कि 12 दिनों के भण्डारण के पश्चात् एल.डी.पी.ई. पौच में भण्डारित फलों के वजन में सबसे कम गिरावट रही जबकि एसीडिटी व एस्कार्विक अम्ल की मात्रा में गिरावट देखी गयी। टी.एस.एस. की सर्वाधिक मात्रा 5.72 टी-12 (एन.पी.के. 60:30:30 कि./हे. + वर्मी कम्पोस्ट @5 टन/हे. + शुष्मत्व के पर्णय छिड़काव) वालों फलों की समाचार पत्रों में पैकिंग में रही। वही सर्वाधिक विटामिन 'सी' की मात्रा टी.-7 (एन.पी.के. 60:30:30 कि./हे.+पोल्ट्री मैन्योर @5 टन/हे.) के प्रयोग से तीन दिनों के भण्डारण के उपान्त एल.डी.पी.ई. पाउच वाले पैकिंग में रहीं टाइट्रेबुल अम्लीयता व एस्कार्विक अम्ल की मात्रा में भण्डारण अवधि के बढ़ाने से गिरावट दर्ज की गयी।

Introduction

Among the cucurbits, bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* (mol.) standl.) is one of the most important and widely grown vegetable crops of India. The fruits in green and tender stage are used as vegetable and for preparation of sweets, raiyta, pickles and different dishes. The application of pre harvested treatments like organic and inorganic production system may also play important role in improving the fruit quality (Mishra et al., 1999 and Sukhada Mohandas 1999).

Azcon et al. (1975) further attributed that different sources of nutrients such as organic/inorganic and integrated had significant effect on the physiological and biochemical changes in bottle gourd fruits. The storage temperature, packaging materials and the gaseous environment around the product is responsible for changes in the quality of bottle gourd fruits under storage. The packaging and storage of harvested vegetables in India is highly unorganized. The present experiment was, therefore, undertaken to

know the enhance in quality of bottle gourd fruits under storage by different packaging materials at ambient conditions.

Materials and Methods

The experiment on the effect of different 13 IPNM treatments consisting of NPK (120:60:60 kg/ha, T1, FYM @20 t/ha, T2, Vermicompost @10 t/ha, T3, Poultry manure @10 t/ha, T4, ½ NPK + FYM @10 t/ha, T5, ½ NPK + Vermicompost @5 t/ha, T6, ½ NPK + Poultry manure @5 t/ha, T7, ½ NPK + FYM @10 t/ha + Azospirillum, T8, ½ NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + Azospirillum, T9, ½ NPK + Poultry manure @ 5 t/ha + Azospirillum, T10, ½ NPK + FYM @10 t/ha + micronutrients mixture, T11, ½ NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture, T12, ½ NPK + Poultry manure @5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture, T13) nutrients and four packaging materials (LDPE pouches, cardboard boxes, Jute bags and news paper) and replicated thrice to study the quality of bottle gourd fruits stored at ambient temperatures ($25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ and RH 72.09 to 84.00%) at IIVR, Varanasi during the period of 2008-2009. Selected fruits of bottle gourd cv. Kashi Ganga of similar anthesis date were packed in different packaging materials and analyzed for physico-chemical analysis during storage at three days interval to assess the quality of bottle gourd fruits during storage. The physiological loss in weight was carried out by determining the weight difference during storage in

different packaging materials during storage (Ranganna, 1986). The percent spoilage was carried out by counting the number of fruits which showed the symptoms of spoilage and were judged by the panel of judges and were expressed as percentage of spoilage by physical spoilage. The total soluble solids (TSS) in bottle gourd after the storage for three days in all packaging materials were cut into small pieces and were blended in electrical mixer. The extracted juice in two layered muslin cloth was subjected to measurement of TSS with hand refractometer (0-32%). Vitamin 'C' content of freshly harvested and stored fruits was calculated by the reduction of 2,6 Dichloroindophenol dye as described by Ranganna (1986).

Results and discussion

Physiological loss in weight: It was evident from the Table 1 that weight loss in all bottle gourd samples packaged in different packaging materials occurred during storage. After 3 days of storage, it was also noted that the minimum PLW (3.89 %) was observed in T₁₂ (NPK 60:30:30 kg/ha. + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture) under LDPE packaged fruits followed by 4.04% in T₁₃ (NPK ½ poultry manures + micronutrients mixture) under the same packaging materials (Table 1). The maximum loss 9.90% was noted in under T₁ (NPK 120: 60: 60 kg/ha) in news paper packaged fruits. This is followed by the treatments T₇ (NPK ½ + poultry manures @ 5 t/ha

Table 1. Physiological loss in weight (%) in IPNM treated bottle gourd under different packaging materials during storage at ambient temperature

Treatments	News paper				Cardboard boxes				Jute bags				LDPE pouches							
	Period of storage (Days)				Period of storage (Days)				Period of storage (Days)				Period of storage (Days)							
	3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12				
T1	NPK (120 : 60 : 60 Kg/ha)				9.90	25.06	31.90	41.65	8.67	21.78	26.12	30.72	6.38	17.31	22.46	24.99	5.40	15.20	20.04	23.03
T2	FYM @ 20 t/ha				9.13	26.22	30.96	37.22	8.38	20.90	22.82	27.11	6.05	16.07	19.35	24.75	5.43	13.52	17.11	20.17
T3	Vermicompost @ 10 t/ha				8.97	25.80	31.46	36.01	8.09	19.74	21.76	24.86	6.05	13.61	16.46	23.53	4.73	12.27	16.34	20.23
T4	Poultry Manure @ 10 t/ha				8.95	24.49	34.57	38.07	8.64	19.30	21.09	25.29	6.25	13.65	16.81	23.75	5.34	11.32	15.92	20.42
T5	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha				9.09	23.99	31.19	36.46	8.71	17.38	21.72	25.75	6.14	13.17	16.97	23.95	4.73	13.61	17.53	21.06
T6	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha				8.87	24.64	29.84	34.64	8.12	18.86	21.50	24.62	5.58	14.23	16.93	23.68	4.41	13.34	17.30	20.09
T7	1/2 NPK + Poultry Mannure @ 5 t/ha				9.31	24.44	29.70	35.30	8.50	18.87	21.68	25.28	5.69	14.25	18.00	23.93	4.53	11.91	17.04	20.34
T8	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha + Azospirillum				8.86	24.30	28.83	35.26	8.40	19.45	22.45	26.59	5.73	13.56	18.15	23.77	4.35	10.23	16.46	20.27
T9	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + Azospirillum				8.64	22.48	28.25	34.88	8.01	18.73	22.11	25.21	5.58	12.44	16.96	22.08	4.34	11.55	16.53	19.36
T10	1/2 NPK + Poultry Mannure @ 5 t/ha + Azospirillum				9.06	22.56	30.60	35.12	8.18	16.31	22.94	26.45	5.72	12.87	16.92	23.45	4.24	11.64	17.41	19.69
T11	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha + micronutrients mixture				8.55	22.72	27.78	33.41	8.22	19.60	23.37	25.79	5.71	12.18	18.39	22.87	4.15	11.51	17.01	18.58
T12	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture				7.09	21.94	25.29	31.01	8.34	17.47	21.40	24.90	5.42	11.82	15.55	21.25	3.89	10.29	15.23	18.12
T13	1/2 NPK + Poultry Mannure @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture				8.25	22.15	29.16	32.86	8.69	18.58	22.43	24.13	5.71	14.24	17.09	22.64	4.04	11.36	17.11	19.45
SEm ±					0.18	0.57	0.77	0.93	0.35	0.89	1.64	0.68	0.20	0.35	0.47	0.31	0.17	0.69	0.32	0.24
CD					0.54	1.66	2.27	2.72	NS	2.60	4.80	2.00	NS	1.0	1.39	0.91	0.51	2.02	1.11	0.72
(P=0.05)																				

when the loss percentage was 9.31% under same packaging materials. The physiological loss in weight was increased after 6 days of storage as compared to the 3 days of storage under each treatment (Table 1). The minimum physiological loss in weight was recorded as 10.29% in T₁₂ (NPK 60:30:30 kg/ha + vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture) under LDPE packaged fruits followed by 10.23% in T₈ (NPK 60:30:30 kg/ha + FYM @10 t/ha + *Azospirillum*) under LDPE packaged fruits. None of the treatments showed the similarity within the treatments. However, maximum (26.22%) physiological loss in weight at 6 days of storage was noted in T₂ (FYM @ 20 t/ha) under news paper packaged fruits. The similar trend was observed after 9th days of storage. After 9 days of storage the minimum (15.23%) loss was recorded in T₁₂ (NPK 60:30:30 kg/ha + vermicompost @ 5t/ha + micronutrients mixture) bottle gourd fruits when packaged in LDPE which was closely followed by T₄ (15.92 %) under same storage conditions (Table 1). However, the treatment T₃ (vermicompost @ 10 t/ha) under the similar storage condition was noted at par with T₁₂ treatment in weight loss during storage at ambient temperature. The maximum (34.57%) loss in weight at 9th days of storage was recorded in T₄ (Poultry manures @10 t/ha) packed under news paper. As the fruits were healthy after 9th days of storage it was continued up to 12 days of storage and recorded that the losses in fruits had reached up to 18.12% in T₁₂

(NPK 60 :30:30 Kg/ha + vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixtures) packaged under LDPE pouches (Table 1). The maximum (41.65%) losses after 12 days of storage was recorded under T1 (NPK 120: 60: 60 kg/ha) treated bottle gourd fruits packaged in news paper. It is quite clear from the results that the losses were minimum in LDPE packaged bottle gourd fruits at all the stages of storage (3, 6, 9 and 12 days of storage) while the maximum losses were observed in newspaper packed fruits.

The minimum losses in weight under LDPE packaged fruits might be due to the reason that organic sources of nutrients improved the quality of the fruits and also reduces the transpiration rate (Chaurasia *et al.*, 2001). Excessive weight loss at news paper packed fruits of bottle gourd was due to increase in transpiration rate which adversely affected the quality of the bottle gourd fruits. This may be attributed due to loose packing between the aerial portion of bottle gourd and news paper as a result of more exposure of surface area. Our findings were supported by other workers that polyethylene packaging materials were found to best in reducing the weight loss of different vegetables (Golomb *et al.*, 1984, Purvis 1984, Begliomini *et al.*, 1995; Farooqi *et al.*, 1995).

Extent of spoilage: The maximum spoilage (18.83 %) was recorded with T₁ (NPK 120: 60: 60 kg/ ha) treated fruits packed in newspaper which was followed by 16.39 % in T₂ (FYM @ 20 t/ha) under same packaging

Table 2. Spoilage (%) in bottle gourd fruits under different packaging materials during storage at ambient room temperature

Treatments	News paper				Cardboard boxes				LDPE pouches				Jute bags			
	Period of storage (Days)				Period of storage (Days)				Period of storage (Days)				Period of storage (Days)			
	3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12
T1	NPK (120 : 60 : 60 Kg/ha)															
T2	FYM @ 20 t/ha															
T3	Vermi Compost @ 10 t/ha															
T4	Poultry Manure @ 10 t/ha															
T5	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha															
T6	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5t/ha															
T7	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha															
T8	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha + <i>Azospirillum</i>															
T9	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + <i>Azospirillum</i>															
T10	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha + <i>Azospirillum</i>															
T11	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha + micronutrients mixture															
T12	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture															
T13	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture															
SEm ±	0.27	1.00	0.96	0.94	0.15	1.43	0.77	0.68	0.13	0.34	0.39	1.36	0.13	0.29	0.13	0.84
CD	0.80	2.92	2.82	2.75	0.46	4.18	2.26	2.00	0.40	1.00	1.15	3.99	0.40	0.85	0.40	2.46
(P=0.05)																

Table 3. Total soluble solids (%) in IPNM treated bottle gourd fruit under different packaging materials during storage at ambient room temperature

Treatments	T.S.S. (%)	News paper				Cardboard boxes				Jute bags				LDPE pouches				
		Period of storage (Days)				Period of storage (Days)				Period of storage (Days)				Period of storage (Days)				
		3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12	
T ₁	NPK (120 : 60 : 60 Kg/ha)	3.93	4.01	4.35	4.90	5.40	3.21	3.47	3.81	4.15	3.82	4.42	4.74	5.22	3.55	3.68	3.86	4.69
T ₂	FYM @ 20 t/ha	3.60	3.86	4.19	4.18	5.06	3.77	3.98	4.42	4.67	4.31	4.71	5.17	5.34	3.58	3.75	3.92	4.20
T ₃	Vermi Compost @ 10 t/ha	4.23	4.24	4.61	4.70	5.50	3.32	3.46	3.77	4.14	3.61	3.89	4.26	4.84	3.66	4.00	4.25	4.56
T ₄	Poultry Manure @ 10 t/ha	3.17	3.38	3.98	4.49	4.98	3.58	3.99	4.41	4.71	3.38	3.89	4.06	4.54	3.58	3.80	4.09	4.32
T ₅	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha	3.03	3.61	4.18	4.61	5.10	3.56	3.88	4.74	4.91	3.33	3.94	4.21	4.59	3.64	3.79	4.27	4.50
T ₆	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5t/ha	3.77	3.84	4.32	4.73	5.05	3.50	3.82	4.16	4.48	3.73	4.23	4.20	4.52	3.67	3.85	4.14	4.39
T ₇	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha	3.50	3.77	4.06	4.47	5.02	3.48	3.82	4.14	4.71	3.91	4.01	4.27	4.49	3.56	3.85	4.24	4.56
T ₈	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha + Azospirillum	3.63	3.98	4.40	4.79	5.14	3.29	3.80	4.31	4.49	3.62	4.26	4.41	4.65	3.73	3.78	3.98	4.30
T ₉	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + Azospirillum	4.13	4.23	4.64	5.28	5.61	3.56	3.82	4.24	4.79	3.87	4.16	4.42	4.84	3.64	4.18	4.53	4.09
T ₁₀	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha + Azospirillum	3.63	3.91	4.43	4.89	5.18	3.71	4.08	4.42	4.90	3.79	4.33	4.52	5.06	3.81	4.26	4.66	4.63
T ₁₁	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha + micronutrients mixture	3.33	4.12	4.48	4.92	5.24	3.05	3.54	4.06	4.29	3.42	4.09	4.37	4.61	3.92	4.15	4.59	4.77
T ₁₂	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture	3.73	4.29	4.76	5.23	5.72	3.28	3.62	4.65	4.92	4.28	4.42	4.68	4.89	4.22	4.34	4.44	4.84
T ₁₃	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture	3.07	4.06	4.53	5.16	5.63	3.13	3.44	4.46	4.75	3.84	4.06	4.46	4.77	4.39	4.43	4.52	4.67
SEm±		0.31	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.08	0.10	0.15	0.17	0.22	0.20	0.24	0.25	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.10
CD(P=0.05)		0.94	0.37	0.42	0.43	0.37	0.24	0.29	0.44	0.52	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.33	0.30	0.34	0.30

materials. However after 6 days of storage, the minimum (12.33%) spoilage occurred in T₁₂ (NPK ½ vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture) followed by 12.56% in T₉ (NPK ½ vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture) under the jute bag packaged fruits (Table 2). It is indicated that with increase in the storage period there has been increase in the magnitude of spoilage under all the treatments. The maximum (45.80%) spoilage after 6th days of storage was under news paper packaged fruits in treatment T₂ (FYM @ 20 t/ha). The similar trend was also noted after 9th days of storage. After 9th days, the minimum (21.12%) spoilage was recorded with T₁₂ (NPK 60: 30: 30 kg/ha + vermicompost @ 5t/ha + micronutrients mixture) under jute bag packaged fruits which was closely followed by 21.29% with T₁₃ (NPK 60: 30: 30 kg/ha + Poultry manures @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture) under same packaging materials. The maximum (67.45%) spoilage at 9th days of storage was recorded in T₇ (NPK 60: 30:30 kg/ha + Poultry manures @ 5 t/ha) packaged under news paper (Table 2). As the fruits were healthy after 9th days of storage, it was continued up to 12th days of storage and was observed that the spoilage in fruits were gone up to 31.65% under T₁₂ (NPK 60: 30: 30 kg/ha + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha+ micronutrients mixtures) treatment followed by 31.52% spoilage in T₁₁ treated bottle gourd fruits packaged in jute bags. The maximum (72.77%) losses were recorded with

T₂ (FYM @ 20 t/ha). It was quite clear from the results that the spoilage was minimum at all the stages of storage (3, 6, 9 and 12 days) under jute bag and the maximum spoilage was seen under news paper packed fruits. This might be due to the fact that jute bag packaged fruits performed better respiration as compared to the packaged fruits in LDPE pouches, news paper and card board boxes packaged fruits where the respiration was not proper which leads to injury and growth of pathogenic microorganisms (Ladaniya *et al.*, 2004 and Begliomini *et al.*, 1995). These results were in conformity with the results of Kalra *et al.* (1989), Shewfelt (1986) and Brar *et al.* (2000) who have reported that polythene packing provide modified atmosphere consequently reduced weight loss and increased the spoilage percentage in bottle gourd and other vegetable crops.

Total soluble solids: The results on the changes in TSS content of freshly harvested and stored bottle gourd fruits in different packaging materials is presented in Table 3. The increasing trend in TSS (%) in all treatments during storage was noticed in all packaging materials. The maximum TSS (4.98-5.72%) was observed in fruits wrapped in news paper followed by fruits packaged in Jute bags (4.49- 5.34%) and card board boxes (4.14 - 4.92%) up to 12 days of storage (Table 3). However, minimum TSS was recorded in fruits packaged in poly bags (4.09-4.84%). The increase

Table 4. Acidity (%) content in IPNM treated bottle gourd fruits under different packaging materials during storage at ambient temperature

Treatments	NPK (120 : 60 : 60 Kg/ha)	Acidity (%)	News Paper				Corrugated Fiber box				Jute Bags				Poly Bags			
			Period of storage (Days)				Period of storage (Days)				Period of storage (Days)				Period of storage (Days)			
			3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12
T ₁	FYM @ 20 t/ha	0.39	0.36	0.32	0.25	0.15	0.35	0.32	0.24	0.14	0.36	0.30	0.23	0.15	0.40	0.36	0.29	0.25
T ₂	Vermi Compost @ 10 t/ha	0.38	0.36	0.30	0.26	0.14	0.36	0.33	0.28	0.17	0.41	0.37	0.26	0.16	0.36	0.28	0.20	0.15
T ₃	Poultry Manure @ 10 t/ha	0.38	0.36	0.31	0.24	0.15	0.35	0.33	0.28	0.18	0.36	0.32	0.27	0.19	0.34	0.27	0.23	0.17
T ₄	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha	0.42	0.38	0.34	0.27	0.12	0.41	0.38	0.31	0.21	0.40	0.37	0.30	0.16	0.37	0.29	0.22	0.16
T ₅	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5t/ha	0.43	0.35	0.31	0.27	0.13	0.42	0.40	0.33	0.18	0.37	0.31	0.27	0.17	0.36	0.28	0.23	0.16
T ₆	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha	0.43	0.37	0.31	0.28	0.18	0.41	0.39	0.31	0.23	0.41	0.37	0.30	0.20	0.38	0.33	0.24	0.17
T ₇	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha + Azospirillum	0.47	0.36	0.29	0.25	0.17	0.41	0.38	0.31	0.19	0.40	0.36	0.29	0.21	0.31	0.26	0.23	0.17
T ₈	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + Azospirillum	0.48	0.37	0.32	0.27	0.18	0.45	0.42	0.34	0.19	0.36	0.30	0.27	0.19	0.36	0.28	0.24	0.16
T ₉	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha + Azospirillum	0.45	0.38	0.30	0.26	0.16	0.40	0.36	0.33	0.19	0.39	0.37	0.28	0.18	0.38	0.28	0.22	0.16
T ₁₀	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha + micronutrients mixture	0.40	0.39	0.33	0.28	0.15	0.40	0.37	0.30	0.21	0.40	0.36	0.32	0.18	0.38	0.29	0.24	0.18
T ₁₁	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture	0.48	0.36	0.29	0.25	0.16	0.43	0.37	0.32	0.19	0.43	0.37	0.29	0.17	0.35	0.32	0.25	0.19
T ₁₂	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture	0.44	0.39	0.35	0.33	0.14	0.41	0.40	0.31	0.24	0.47	0.43	0.29	0.21	0.32	0.26	0.19	0.23
T ₁₃	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture	0.44	0.39	0.35	0.33	0.14	0.41	0.40	0.31	0.24	0.47	0.43	0.29	0.21	0.32	0.26	0.19	0.23
T ₁₃	SEm±	0.45	0.36	0.32	0.27	0.14	0.42	0.38	0.33	0.24	0.46	0.42	0.28	0.20	0.38	0.34	0.28	0.13
	SEm±	0.023	0.0095	0.01	0.014	0.019	0.023	0.017	0.0085	0.015	0.021	0.016	0.0093	0.007	0.0068	0.0087	0.0088	0.0062
	CD(P=0.05)	0.069	0.027	0.03	0.043	NS	0.069	0.049	0.024	0.046	0.063	0.047	0.027	0.021	0.019	0.085	0.025	0.018

in TSS content during storage might be reflected due to loss of more moisture loss from the aerial surface of fruits and concentration of soluble solids. The present findings are in conformity with the findings of Singh and Katiyar (1999) and Chaurasia *et al* (2002).

Titrateable acidity: The results on changes in titrateable acidity of freshly harvested and stored fruits in different packaging material for 12 days of storage period are presented in Table 4. The acidity level in bottle gourd juice decreased with increase the period of storage in

all the treatments. The maximum (0.13%) decrease in acid contents was observed in news paper packed fruits under T₁₃ (NPK 60:30:30kg/ha + poultry manures @ 5 t/ ha + micronutrients mixture) followed by (0.15%) in T₂ (FYM @ 20t/ha) jute bags wrapped fruits (Table 4). Similar findings have also been reported by Ladaniya (2004), Castro *et al.*(2005), Will *et al.* (1981). The authors were of the opinion that amount of organic acid usually decreases during maturity because organic acids serve as substrate for

Table 5: Vitamin C content (mg / 100 gm) in IPNM treated bottle gourd fruit under different packaging materials during storage at ambient temperature

Treatments		News paper				Cardboard boxes				Jute bags				LDPE pouches			
		3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12	3	6	9	12
		T ₁	FYM @ 20 t/ha	6.38	5.58	5.16	4.83	6.41	4.75	4.39	3.58	6.07	5.29	4.68	4.25	6.84	5.93
T ₂	Vermi Compost @ 10 t/ha	6.21	5.48	4.92	4.68	6.67	4.86	3.95	3.04	5.79	4.94	4.48	3.98	7.09	6.06	5.76	5.30
T ₃	Poultry Manure @ 10 t/ha	6.97	5.84	5.19	4.55	6.66	4.86	4.40	3.64	5.46	4.78	4.26	3.99	6.79	6.18	5.55	5.14
T ₄	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha	6.61	5.65	5.14	4.58	6.69	5.14	4.36	3.18	5.77	5.50	4.73	4.17	6.81	6.39	5.78	4.43
T ₅	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5t/ha	6.08	5.78	5.22	4.58	6.65	5.20	4.28	3.31	5.89	5.75	4.86	4.10	6.99	5.82	5.23	4.83
T ₆	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha	6.38	5.26	5.05	4.99	6.51	5.33	4.09	3.12	5.00	4.82	4.07	3.68	6.70	6.02	5.54	5.21
T ₇	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha + Azospirillum	6.34	5.70	5.03	4.56	6.51	5.48	4.63	3.65	5.50	4.81	4.15	3.74	7.16	6.08	5.64	5.29
T ₈	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + Azospirillum	6.38	5.14	5.17	4.78	6.49	5.45	4.61	3.64	5.89	5.38	4.53	4.27	6.69	5.92	5.32	5.02
T ₉	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha + Azospirillum	6.74	5.36	5.28	4.58	6.54	5.22	4.74	3.48	5.62	5.51	4.54	3.61	7.14	5.96	5.46	5.04
T ₁₀	1/2 NPK + FYM @ 10 t/ha + micronutrients mixture	6.59	5.39	5.20	4.36	6.59	5.28	4.16	3.46	5.77	5.45	4.69	3.41	6.73	6.48	5.88	5.43
T ₁₁	1/2 NPK + Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture	6.61	5.31	5.40	4.66	6.70	5.38	4.35	3.61	5.84	5.39	4.68	4.20	7.20	6.60	5.76	5.34
T ₁₂	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture	6.16	5.32	5.20	4.39	6.93	5.55	4.60	3.89	5.94	5.74	4.86	4.41	7.38	6.66	6.29	6.01
T ₁₃	1/2 NPK + Poultry Manure @ 5 t/ha + micronutrients mixture	6.55	5.28	5.29	4.56	6.83	5.06	4.29	3.47	5.75	5.52	4.50	4.15	7.10	6.55	6.15	5.73
	SEm±	0.23	0.12	0.122	0.08	0.08	0.18	0.19	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.21	0.17	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.12
	CD (P=0.05)	0.67	0.35	0.35	0.24	0.24	0.52	0.57	0.46	0.46	0.48	0.63	0.52	0.33	0.40	0.39	0.35

respiration. The decrease in titrable acidity after seven days coincided with a better sensory score for evaluation in stored fruit.

Ascorbic acid : The decreasing level of ascorbic acid content in bottle gourd fruits during storage in all the treated fruits in different packaging materials is presented in Table 5. The lowest (6.01 mg/100 g) vitamin C content was recorded in T₁₂ (NPK 60:30:30 kg/ha+ vermicompost @ 5 t/ha+ micronutrients mixture) followed by 5.73 mg/100g in T₁₃ (NPK 60:30:30 kg/ha + poultry manures@5t/ha+ micronutrients mixture) during storage (Table 5). Sharma et al (1992) have also reported the decreasing level of vitamin C content during storage in guava. The similar results were also recorded by Hardenberg et al. (1986), Brar et al. (2000) and Singh et al. (1990) during subsequent studies towards the losses of vitamin C content during storage. It is evident from our results that the quality of bitter gourd is significantly dependent on the packaging materials. The storability of fruits can be said as observed in the present experiment is dependent on the packaging materials. The biochemical changes during storage affect the quality of fruit.

It is concluded that LDPE pouches were found to be the best packaging materials for increasing the shelf life and quality of bottle gourd fruits. Among all the IPNM treatments, FYM + 1/2 NPK + micronutrient mixtures exhibited the best combinations regarding maintaining the quality and shelf life of bottle gourds.

References

- Azcon R and Barea, JM (1975). Synthesis of auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins by *Azotobacter vinelandii* and *Azotobacter beijerinckii* related to effects produced on tomato plants. *Plant and Soil*, 43: 609-619.
- Begliomini AL, Montedoro GF, Servili M, Petruccioli M and Federici F (1995). oxidoreductase from tomato fruit inhibitory effects of a fungal glucose oxidase. *J. Food. Bioch.* 19: 161-173.
- Brar JS, Arora SK, Kumar J and Batra BR (2000). Effect of polythene packing on the shelf life of chilies. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 29: 252-54.
- Castro LR, Vigneault C, Charles MT and Cortez LAB (2005). Effects of cooling delay and cold- chain breakage on 'Santa Clara' tomato. *J. Food. Agri. Envir.* 1: 49-54.
- Chaurasia SNS, Nirmal DE, Singh KP and Kalloo G (2001). *Azotobacter* improves shelf- life of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *Indian. J. Agri. Sci.* 71 : 765-770.
- Farooqi WA, Ahmed AM, Hussain MJ and Qureshi (1995). Effect of thiabendazol and liming materials on kinnow mandarins during storage. *The Nucleus* 12: 25-29.
- Golomb, AS, Ben-Yehoshua and Sarig, Y (1984). High density polyethylene wrap improves wound healing lengthens shelf life of mechanically harvested grape fruits. *J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 109: 155-159.
- Hardenburg RE, Watada AE and Wang CY (1986). The commercial storage of fruits, vegetables, and florist, and nursery stocks. Washington, Agriculture Handbook 66,130p.
- Kalra CL, Kulkarni SG, Beerth OP and Sharma BR (1989). Studies on the post harvest storage of green pea cultivars as influenced by prepackaging. *Indian Food Packer* 43: 18-26.
- Ladaniya MS (2004). Response of 'Kagzi' Acid lime to low temperature regimes during storage. *J. Food Sci. Tech.* 41: 284-288.
- Mishra OP, Verma OP and Sharma AK (1999). Sustainable agriculture a need of the day. *Farmer and parliament* 34: 9-10.
- Purvis AC (1984). Relationship between chilling injury of grapefruit and moisture loss during storage amelioration by polyethylene shrinks film. *J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 110: 385- 388.
- Ranganna S (1986). Hand Book of Analysis and Quality Control for Fruit and Vegetable Products. Tata Mc Graw-Hill Publishing Company Ltd., New Delhi, p. 9-10.
- Sharma RK, Kumar J And Gupta OP (1992). Studies on the effect of impregnated cushioning material on shelf life of Guava cv.L49. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.* 21: 175-185.
- Shewfelt RL (1986). Postharvest treatment for extending the shelf life of fruits and vegetable. *Food Tech.* 40: 70-80.
- Singh S and Katiyar AK (1999). Harit khad parichay and Labh. *Farmer and Parliament* 36: 19-21.
- Singh SN, Mangat HS, Bal JS and Bindra, AS (1990). Biochemical change during ripening of plum (*Prunus salicina*). *Acta Hort.* 283:173-178.
- Sukhada Mohandas (1999). Biofertilizers for horticultural crops. *Indian. J. Hort.* 43: 32-45.