Vegetable Science (2024) 51(1): 1-10

doi: 10.61180/vegsci.2024.v51.i1.01

ISSN- 0970-6585 (Print), ISSN- 2455-7552 (Online)



REVIEW ARTICLE

Cowpea: Breeding and Genomics

T. S. Aghora¹, Hira Lal², B. R. Reddy^{2*}, Mohan N.¹, Mahadevaiah C.¹, Thangam P.¹, M. V. Dhananajaya¹, Nagana G. Patil¹, Indraja G.¹, Rakesh K. Dubey², N. Rai² and T. K. Behera²

Abstract

Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) is a self-pollinating grain legume, fodder, and vegetable crop grown in a variety of tropical and subtropical climates around the world. Due to its nutritive value and soil-improving properties, it is also used as a fodder, green manure and cover crop. Being a legume crop, cowpea fits well in the inter-cropping system. Many biotic stresses, such as diseases caused by fungi, bacteria and viruses, significantly affect the crop yield in cowpeas. Apart from these, abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity stress and cold stresses are emerging problems. Therefore, it is required to breed many resistant varieties or genotypes through the identification of resistant gene pools. Vegetable cowpea breeding programs aim to develop cowpea varieties for vegetable purposes with tender pods in a bush or semi-determinate growth habits. Besides the development of high-yielding varieties, resistance to diseases such as golden mosaic disease, *Cercospora* leaf spot and anthracnose, resistance to insect pests such as bruchids pod borer and tolerance to abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity and high-temperature stresses is important. To achieve this traditional breeding combined with molecular approaches such as marker-assisted selection and genomic selection increases the precision of selection for desirable traits and also aids in the development of varieties with combined resistance to two or more diseases in less time. Genomic prediction and genomic selection schemes in cowpeas showed considerable improvement in predication accuracies and integrating with breeding schemes helps to enhance genetic gain.

Keywords: Cowpea, biotic and abiotic stress, marker-assisted selection and Genomic selection.

¹Division of Vegetable Crops, ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India.

²ICAR-Indian institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India.

*Corresponding author; Email: rajasekharhortico@gmail.com

Citation: Aghora, T. S., Lal, H., Reddy, B. R., Mohan, N., Mahadevaiah, C., Thangam, P., Dhananajaya, M. V., Patil, N. G., Indraja, G., Dubey, R. K., Rai, N. and Behera, T. K. (2024). Cowpea: Breeding and Genomics. Vegetable Science, 51(1), 1-10.

Source of support: Nil **Conflict of interest:** None.

Received: 05/03/2024 Revised: 20/05/2024 Accepted: 22/05/2024

Introduction

Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp.) is one of the most important legume vegetables grown in tropical and subtropical regions of the world. It is also known as the southern pea, blacked-eyed pea and crowder pea. It is mainly cultivated as grain legume crop, vegetable crop and also as a fodder crop (Kongjaimun et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2018; da Silva et al., 2019). It is also cultivated as a green manuring crop, which improves the productivity of main crops (Singh et al. 2010) and as a main crop or intercropping with other crops like sorghum effectively controls soil erosion (Zougmore et al. 2000). The tender green pods are rich in crude protein (3.2%), iron (2.5 mg/100 g), calcium (80 mg/100 g), phosphorus (74 mg/100 g), vitamin A (941 IU/100 g), vitamin C (13 mg/100 g) and dietary fiber (2 g/100 g) making it an excellent vegetable (Singh et al., 2001). It is known as "Poor man's meat" owing to its major role in human nutrition in many developing countries (Jayathilake et al. 2018). Cowpea can play an important role in nutritional security in rural areas of the world.

Many biotic and abiotic stresses are major constraints in cowpea crop production. There are eleven major fungal diseases such as anthracnose, *Aschochyta* blight, black leaf spot, *Cercospora* leaf spot, blotch and many others cause significant yield loss in cowpea (Emechebe and Fiorini

[©] The Author(s) 2024. Open Access. This article is Published by the Indian Society of Vegetable Science, Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Jakhini, Varanasi-221305, Uttar Pradesh, India; Online management by www.isvsvegsci.in

1997). Bacterial diseases such as bacterial blight is a major constraint in cowpea production (Emechebe and Fiorini, 1997). More than twenty viruses are known to infect and cause diseases in cowpeas (Hampton et al. 1997). The infections caused by viruses in cowpeas stand out as they can reduce production from 60 to 80% in more susceptible cultivars. Among them, cowpea golden mosaic disease (CGMD), cowpea aphid-borne mosaic virus (CABMV), cowpea mottle virus (CMeV) and Southern bean mosaic virus (SBMV) are major viral diseases. In cowpea, insects are known to cause the crop damages through transmission of viral diseases and also feeding on crop plants. Striga is one of the major epiphytic flowering parasitic weed and a major constraint in cowpea crop production system. The abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity stress and cold stresses are emerging problems due to global warming or climate change (Mendelsohn 2014; Arora 2019).

Genetic Resources & Diversity

West or Central African regions were considered as the centre of origin of *V. unquiculata* based on genetic diversity. An overwhelming number of species of Vigna were found in tropical African regions (Faris 1965). There are four taxonomic taxa in V. unquiculata viz., subsp. unquiculata, subsp. dekindtiana (var. dekindtiana, var. mensensis, var. pubescens and var. protracta) subsp. tenuis and subsp. stenophylla. Wild annuals of cowpea are crossable with cultivated cowpea and molecular characterization of accessions of cultivated and wild genetic resources also demonstrated extensive gene flow between cultivated and wild species (Coulibaly et al. 2002). Cultivated cowpea V. unquiculata subsp unquiculata has five cultivar groups such as cultivar group biflora, cultivar group unquiculata, cultivar group sesquipedalis and cultivar group melanophthalmus (Pasquet 1998), and cultivar group textilis (Smartt 1984; Pasquet 1996).

Yard long beans are a unique cultivar group (Sesquipedialis) of cowpea that produces very long pods and are widely consumed in Asia as a fresh green or "snapbean." Yardlong beans appear to have evolved in Asia and are rare in African landrace germplasm (Timkoo and Singh, 2008). They are grown extensively in Southeast Asia, South China and Africa. Fresh, tender green pods are eaten fresh as well as cooked. The indigenous varieties of yard long bean were climbing types. In recent times, many erect bushy varieties with increased yields have been developed. At a global level, IITA (International Institute for Tropical Agriculture) and USDA (United States Department of Agriculture) hold the largest collection of cowpea germplasm. In India, 3,720 cowpea accessions were maintained at ICAR-NBPGR, New Delhi (http://www.nbpgr.ernet.in/News_Details/aid/349.aspx).

Breeding objectives and varietal development

Vegetable cowpea breeding programs aim to develop cowpea varieties for vegetable purposes, bearing stringless tender pods in bush or semi-determinate growth habits. Besides the development of high-yielding varieties for tender pods, resistance to diseases such as golden mosaic disease, *Cercospora* leaf spot disease and anthracnose disease, resistance to insect pests such as bruchids, pod borer and tolerance to abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity and high-temperature stresses were other breeding objectives (Reddy et al. 2020). The genetics of major traits in cowpea and vegetable cowpea cultivars developed by various institutes in India are given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Genetic improvement through traditional breeding

Breeding for suitable plant type, earliness and high yield Yard long bean (Vigna unguiculata ssp. sesquipedalis) is usually grown as a traditional variety for vegetable purposes. Pods of the viny, indeterminate and long-duration cowpeas

| Table | 1٠ | Genetics | ٥f | maior | traits | in | cownea |
|-------|----|----------|----|---------|--------|-----|--------|
| Iable | ١. | denetics | Οı | IIIajoi | uaits | 111 | COWpea |

| Traits | Genetic architecture | Reference |
|--|--|------------------------------|
| Early and medium earliness | 3:1 ratio between early and medium earliness, governed by single dominant gene | (Owusu et al. 2018) |
| Cooking time | Fast-cooking was dominant over late cooking. Additive, dominant, additive×additive, additive× dominant, and dominant× dominant gene interaction was significant | (Addy et al. 2020) |
| Yield and component traits | Polygenic traits and transgressive segregation was observed in F ₂ population | (Aryeetey and Laing 1973) |
| Cowpea aphid resistance | Segregated in 15:1 ratio indicating two dominant genes with additive effects governing resistance | (Ombakho et al. 1987) |
| Pod length and other traits | Additive gene effects with digenic and three digenic epistatic gene effects for pod length. Additive components were dominant for pod weight, number of seeds per pod and seed weight per pod | (Crosses et al. 2021) |
| Seed size | Small seeds were partially dominant over large seeds and additive, dominance and additive x additive epistatic gene actions were predominant | (Drabo et al. 1984) |
| Flower colour Stem colour Pod colour | Flower colour segregated in 1 purple: 2 light purple: 1 white or 3 purple: 1 white ratio in F_2 population and 1 purple: 1 white ratio in backcross population. Stem and stem colour segregated in 13 purple:3 green ratio ratio in F_2 population, 7 purple: 8 purple-white segregating: 1 white ratio in F_3 population | (Uguru 1995) |

Table 2: Vegetable cowpea cultivars developed by various institutes in India

| Institute | Varieties developed |
|----------------------|--|
| ICAR-IIHR, Bengaluru | Arka Suman, Arka Samrudhi (Bush type), Arka Garima (Semi-determinate type), Arka Mangala (Yard long bean, Pole type) |
| ICAR-IIVR, Varanasi | Kashi Kanchan, Kashi Nidhi, Kashi Vishan, Kashi Gauri, Kashi Unnati, Kashi Shyamal (Bush type) |
| ICAR-IARI, New Delhi | Pusa Phalguni, Pusa Barsati, Pusa Dofasli, Pusa Rituraj, Pusa Komal (Bushtype) |
| KAU, Vellanikkara | Vyjayanti, Anaswara, Lola, Githika, Mithra, Vellayani Jyothika (Yard long bean, Pole type) |
| HARP, Ranchi | Swarna Harita, Swarna Suphala (Pole type) |
| PAU, Ludhiana | Selection-263 (Bush type) |
| BCKV, Kalyani | Bidhan Barati-1 (Bush type), Bidhan Barati-2 (Semi-determinate type) |

Table 3: Desirable and undesirable traits of Vigna unguiculata subspecies unguiculata and sesquipedalis

| Subspecies | Desirable traits | Undesirable traits |
|---------------|--|---|
| sesquipedalis | Better pod quality viz. soft, long, pulpy and parchment free pods. | Indeterminate growth habit needs staking, delayed flowering and fruiting, long duration, generally susceptible to cowpea golden mosaic virus cercospora diseases. |
| unguiculata | Determinate growth habit, earliness in flowering and fruiting, | Poor pod quality <i>viz.</i> hard, short and non-fleshy pods, presence of thick parchment |

belonging to Vigna unquiculata ssp. sesquipedalis are of better quality, but their indeterminate growth habit restricts it to small-scale cultivation due to its vigorous viny growth which requires a trellis for the support of the plant. The cost of the trellis system is worked out to be Rs. 49000/- per ha (Lal et al., 2016). Growing bush type cowpeas can save this expenditure. The economic impact assessment of ICAR-IIVR developed bush type cowpea variety Kashi Kanchan gave net profit of Rs 36406/- during Kharif and Rs84942/- during summer with B:C ratio of 3.04 and 3.26 respectively, whereas the local variety had a B:C ratio of 1.43 (Vanitha et al., 2022). Delayed flowering and fruiting habit and long duration of yard long bean do not allow them to fit in the proper ricewheat cropping system. In northern India, the rice-wheat cropping system occupies about 10 million ha potential area and there is 100-110 days gap between the harvest of wheat and the transplanting of rice. Development of determinate, early, short-duration and high-yielding genotypes would be profitable. In India, particularly IIVR, Varanasi and IIHR, Bengaluru, are still working in this direction and developed excellent vegetable cowpea varieties. The desirable and undesirable traits of Vigna unquiculata ssp. unquiculata and sesquipedalis are summarized in Table 3.

Breeding for product quality

Cowpea is important in the diet, and hence improvement of the nutritional quality is essential. According to Rachie (1985) the vegetable types should be developed to produce larger, string-free pods with more attractive cooking and taste qualities. The flatulence factor and metabolic inhibitors should be eliminated, and nutritional qualities should be enhanced mainly by increasing the content of sulfurcontaining amino acids, calcium, iron etc. Pods of vegetable cowpea should be tender, pulpy and parchment-free.

Breeding for biotic stresses

There are several factors influencing the productivity of cowpeas, among them heavy biotic pressures viz. insects and diseases is one of the main reason. The important diseases in cowpea are cercospora, bacterial blight and mosaic, and the most injurious insect pests are jassids, aphids, pod borer and blister beetles. Nematodes are also a serious problem in cowpea cultivation. It is mentioned that photo-insensitive bacterial blight (eg. Pusa Komal) and cowpea golden mosaic virus resistant (eg. Kashi Kanchan, Kashi Nidhi, Arka Garima, Arka Samriddhi etc.) vegetable cowpea lines have been developed in India. Cowpea Sel.-263, KLS-10 and BC-244002 were screened near immune/ symptomless and NDCP-8, VS-389 Arka garima as highly resistant to cowpea golden mosaic geminivirus (Chakraborty and Singh, 1999; Chakraborty et al., 2003). For Maruca pod borer, which causes severe damage and yield reduction in vegetable cowpeas, only low level of resistance have been reported. Jagginavan et al. (1995) observed cowpea lines P120 and C11 to be least damaged by Maruca and Veeranna and Hussain (1997) found TVx 7 to be most resistant to Maruca and has a high density of trichomes (21.41/mm²). Veerappa (1998) screened 45 cowpea lines for resistance to Maruca pod borer and observed that the tolerant lines had higher phenol and tannin contents compared to susceptible lines. This is in line with the general observation that cowpea varieties with pigmented calyx, petioles, pods, and pod tips suffer less damage due to Maruca.

Resistance to *Cercospora* leaf spot disease was governed by single dominant gene or two genes with inhibitory gene action. Yard-long bean susceptible genotype CSR12906 and resistant accession T90K-59-120 and their $\rm F_2$ population were segregated in 3:1 and 13:3 ratio for susceptible: resistant types, whereas backcross population [(SR12906×IT90K-59-

120)×IT90K-59-120] were segregated in 1:1 ratio suggesting a single recessive gene or two genes with inhibitory gene action were determining the resistance for *Cercospora* leafspot disease in yard-long bean (Duangsong et al. 2018). Cowpea aphid-borne mosaic disease resistance governed by more than one recessive with additive effect as indicated by the predominance of CGA and continuous distribution indicates the significance of quantitative inheritance (Orawu et al. 2012). Seed weight was deduced to be controlled by five genes with a predominance of additive effects (Lopes et al. 2003).

Breeding for abiotic stresses

Abiotic stresses, including salinity/alkalinity, high moisture, drought and temperature extremes, are serious threats to crop survival, which somehow affect the crop yield. Several traditional methods are practiced for sustainable crop productivity but with the increasing abiotic stress due to changing climatic conditions and increasing pressure of population the traditional techniques of overcoming abiotic stress are not able to meet the demands. Hence, genetic engineering for developing stress-tolerant plants, based on the introduction and pyramiding of genes that are known to be involved in stress response and tolerance and may provide a promising track towards improving crop varieties, is required.

Molecular Breeding Approaches

Marker development

Simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers are simple and most commonly used in plant breeding in various crops. The SSR markers in cowpea, had shown high transferability (88%) to other crops (Gupta and Gopalakrishna 2010). Crosstransferability of SSR markers from cowpea to yard-long bean was studied and observed that microsatellite markers identified in cowpea are suitable for genetic diversity and marker-assisted selection in yard-long bean (Xu et al. 2010). Cowpea iSelect Consortium Array was developed by mapping 36 set of sequences derived from whole genome sequencing of 32 accessions with 12.5X coverage and other BAC clones against the whole genome sequence of T97K-499-35. Total of 60000 high-quality SNP markers were filtered through various bioinformatic tools and finally, 51128 SNPs were made available to the public through Cowpea iSelect Consortium Array (Munoz-Amatriain et al. 2017).

Linkage map construction

Genetic map or linkage map is a pre-requisite and essential requirement for QTL analysis and marker-assisted selection. SSR and SNP markers-based linkage map with a length of 745 cM was reported in 114 recombinant inbred lines derived from the cross between the landrace ZN016 and Chinese cultivar Zhijiang282 (Xu et al. 2011). A linkage map of 852.4 cM was from the population derived from BC,F, ((JP81610

 \times TVnu457) \times JP81610) population derived from yard-long bean and cowpea (Kongjaimun et al. 2012a, b, 2013). With the advance of Next-Generation Sequencing and with a reduction in cost of sequencing, NGS platforms were often used in the development of markers and construction of linkage map in the yard-long bean. SLAF (Specific locus amplified fragments) sequencing is an NGS-based genotyping methodology and has an advantage over whole genome sequencing due to the construction of a reduced representation library resulting in the identification of 5,225 SNP markers evenly distributed with an average distance of 0.35 cM across 11 linkage groups and resulted in linkage map of 1,850.81 cM (Huang et al. 2018). Restriction-site associated sequencing (RAD) is another NGS-based genotyping tool and genotyping of 168 F2:3 progenies derived from the crosses of vegetable cowpea and yard-long bean resulted in the identification of 17,996 SNP markers covering 1,194.25 cM with a mean distance of 0.066 cM/SNP marker locus (Pan et al. 2017). In cowpea, F_{α} (IC-1 × BRS Marataoa) population was mapped with 51128 SNP markers and among them, 910 were polymorphic with a linkage map length of 1140.12 cM and 1.26 cM between markers (Seido and Santos 2019). In wild cowpea (Vigna vexillata) 300 F₂ population (Salt tolerant V1 ×salt sensitive V5) were mapped with 874 markers and the map spanned 511.5 cM with a distance of 7.2 cM between markers (Marubodee et al. 2015).

QTL mapping

Quantitative trait analysis is an additive difference between marker genotype against recombination frequency between marker allele and a putative QTL (Kearsey and Hyne 1994) and, QTL identification is a pre-requisite for marker-assisted selection and further map-based cloning (Remington et al. 2001). There are several studies or reports on QTL identification for various traits in cowpeas, including yardlong bean, summarized in Table 4. Fine mapping of QTLs is required to locate the genomic regions harboring candidate genes. In cowpea, rust-resistant QTL 'Ruv2' was fine-mapped using 119 RILs derived from the cross ZN016 × Zhijiang 282 and genotyping with 49194 SNP markers resulted in 193 Kb genomic regions harboring 23 genes and this genomic region was highly enriched with nucleotide binding site (NBS). This genomic region was flanked by SNP markers with distance of 0.45 cM and PCR based CAPS marker was developed. This CAPS marker is suitable for marker-assisted selection for rust resistant genes in cowpea (Wu et al. 2018). However, fine mapping of QTL was reported only in fewer traits and further studies are required to candidate genes controlling many economic traits in cowpeas.

Association and genome-wide association studies

Genome-wide association mapping helps to dissect out the causal genomic regions or candidate genes associated with

Table 4: Identification of quantitative trait loci for various traits in cowpea

| Trait | Number of QTLs | Mapping population | Marker used for genotyping | Methods of QTL analysis | POV (%) | References |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|------------------------------|
| Yard-long bean | | | | | | |
| Pod tenderness and total soluble solids | Three QTLs for pod tenderness two QTLs for TSS | BC1F1 ((JP81610 × JP89083) × JP81610) F ₂ (JP81610 × JP89083) | 226SSR | Composite interval mapping | Pod tenderness: 5.6–50% TSS: 7 - 9 % | (Kongjaimun et al. 2013) |
| Domestication related traits | 121 QTLs | BC1F1 ((JP81610 × JP89083) × JP81610) F ₂ (JP81610 × JP89083) | 226SSR | Multiple Interval mapping | - | (Kongjaimun et al. 2012a) |
| Pod length | One major and six minor QTLs | BC1F1 ((JP81610 × JP89083) × JP81610) F ₂ (JP81610 × JP89083) | 226SSR | Multiple Interval mapping | 3.2 to 30.5% | (Kongjaimun et al. 2012b) |
| Resistance to <i>Cercospora</i> leaf spot | 1 QTL- qCLS9.1 | 190F_2 (yardlong bean CSR12906 \times cowpea IT90K-59-120) | 165 SSR | Inclusive composite interval mapping | 25.40-89.26% | (Duangsong et al. 2016) |
| Salt Tolerance | six major QTLs | 143 F ₂ population (Suzi 41 × Sujiang 1419) | 103 InDels | Composite interval mapping | 9.9% ~ 72.7% | (Zhang et al. 2020) |
| Cowpea | | | | | | |
| Domestication related traits | | | | | | |
| Floral scent | 63 QTLs | 159 RILs (524B × 219-01) | 202 SSR Four morphological markers | composite interval mapping | 60% | (Andargie et al. 2014) |
| Flowering time | 5 QTLs | 159 RILs (524B × 219-01) | 202 SSR Four morphological markers | composite interval mapping | 8.8–29.8% | (Andargie et al. 2013) |
| Domestication related traits | 16 QTLs | 215 RILs (IT99K-573-1-1 × TVNu-1158) | 17739 SNPs | Linear mixed model | 18.32 - 85.65% | (Lo et al. 2019) |
| Days to flowering and plant height | Flowering: qDTF9.1, Plant height: qPH9.1, qPH4.1) | 175 RILs (Golden Eye Cream × IT98K-476-8) | 4154 SNPs | SNP linkage map | qDTF9.1: 29.3% qPH9.1: 29.5% | (Angira et al. 2020) |
| Biotic stresses | | | | | | |
| Bacterial blight resistance | qtlblb-1- LG8 qtlblb-2 - LG 11 qtlblb-3 - LG11 | 194 F _{2:3} (C-152 × V-16) | 80 SSR 40 conserved intron- scanning primers (CISP) | composite interval mapping | qtlblb-1: 30.58% qtlblb-2: 10.77% qtlblb-3: 10.63%, | (Dinesh et al. 2016) |
| Resistance to root knot nematode | 1 QTL | 87 RILs (CB27 × 24-125B-1) 170 F2:3 (IT84S-2049 × UCR779) 132 F2:3 (T93K-503-1 × UCR779) | 1536 SNPs | QTL lciMapping, | 52.4-72.9 % | (Huynh et al. 2016) |
| Brown blotch resistance | One major and three minor QTLs | 200 F_2 (KN1 × Tiligre) | 99 allele-specific markers (AS-PCR) | multiple interval mapping | - | (Ohlson et al. 2018) |
| Abiotic stresses | | | | | | |
| Heat tolerance | 5 QTLs | 141 RILs (CB27 ×IT82E-18). | 48 transcript SNPs | Interval mapping | 11.5–18.1 % | (Lucas et al. 2013) |
| Drought stress (premature senescence and maturity) | 12 QTLs | 127 RILs (IT93K503-1 × CB46) | 306 AFLP | Multiple interval mapping | 4.7-24.2% | (Muchero et al. 2009) |

trait variations and dissect the true association between markers and traits even for rare variants with low frequency and small effects (Brachi et al. 2011; Korte and Farlow 2013). In yard-long bean, GWAS was performed for drought stress tolerance in mini-core germplasm consisting of 95 accessions of yard-long bean for soil moisture stress and genotyping with 1127 SNPs resulted in the identification of 39 SNP markers tightly linked to soil moisture stress. Among them, many genes related to abscisic acid and phospholipid signaling, aquaporin genes and hormonal genes were identified for soil moisture stress (Xu et al. 2012). Further studies are required for many other traits,

Table 5: Genome-wide associated studies for various traits in cowpea

| Traits | Association panels | Genome wide markers | Putative candidate gene | Functional annotation | References |
|---------------------|---|---|---|---|-------------------------|
| Bacterial Blight | 249 USDA germplasm | 1031 SNP (GBS) | | Four SNP showed 70% selection accuracy | (Shi et al., 2016) |
| Aphid resistance | 338 cowpea USDA germplasm panel | 1047 SNPs | Ten SNPs were identified for aphid resistance | Two SNPs viz., C35011941_894 and scaffold30061 _3363 were strongly linked to Aphid tolerance | (Qin et al. 2017) |
| Seed size | 368 cowpea diverse accessions panel | 51,128 SNPs (51K Cowpea iSelect Consortium Array) | Six candidate genes: Vigun05g036000, Vigun05g039600, Vigun05g204200, Vigun08g217000, Vigun11g187000, Vigun11g191300 | Candidate genes were annotated to cell wall protein, phosphate transporter PHO1, fertilization-independent endosperm, histidine kinase 2, WD repeat-containing protein 61-like isoform 1 and delta (24)-sterol reductase-like protein | (Lo et al. 2019) |
| Bruchids | 217 mini-core accession panel | 51,128 SNPs (51K Cowpea iSelect Consortium Array) | Six candidate genes: Vigun08g132300, Vigun08g158000, Vigun06g053700, Vigun02g131000, Vigun01g234900, Vigun01g201900 | Candidate genes were annotated to Chloroplast envelope transporter (Tic110), Interacting selectively and noncovalently with any protein or protein complex, WRKY DNA -binding domain, Alpha/beta hydrolase fold-containing protein (ABH), Glutamate-prephenate Aminotransferase, Transcription factor activity PTHR | (Miesho et al. 2019) |
| Flowering time | 384 cowpea germplasm panel | 51,128 SNPs (51K Cowpea iSelect Consortium Array) | Four candidate genes: Vigun01g084000, Vigun01g227200, Vigun02g062600, Vigun03g296800 | Candidate genes were annotated to flowering locus T, early flowering 4, F-box/KELCH-repeat protein SKIP11 and casein kinase II | (Seo et al. 2020) |
| Flowering time | 368 mini-core panel | seven reliable SNPs | Twelve candidate genes were identified for flowering time | Candidate genes were functionally annotated and some them are Flowering locusT, Gigantea, Cryptochrome-2, Light-dependent short hypocotyls 3 and Rebelote | (Paudel et al. 2021) |

such as pod quality-related traits, salinity stress tolerance, and major diseases such as anthracnose, rust and other viral diseases. In cowpea, there are many studies on genomewide association studies and presented in Table 5.

Transcriptome and whole genome sequencing in yard long bean

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) platforms are frequently used in many crops for the identification of a total number of protein-coding genes and to assess the global expression analysis for various biotic and abiotic stresses (Ray and Satya 2014; Vlk and Repkova 2017). In yard-long bean, whole genome sequences developed through a shotgun sequencing approach were assembled into 632.8 Mb and assigned to 11 pseudo linkage groups anchoring 94.42% of scaffolds. A total of 42,609 and 3,579 protein and non-protein coding genes were identified from the assembly (Xia et al. 2019). Through transcriptome studies, a total of 1,21,138 unigenes for salinity stress (Id et al. 2019) and 88,869 unigenes for cold stress tolerance (Tan et al. 2016) were identified. Further transcriptome studies are required for other horticultural and pod quality traits for the identification of functional genes associated with various traits. These genomic resources have high significance for breeders for the development of genome-wide markers and further utilization in crop improvement or marker-assisted selection program in the yard-long bean.

Transcriptome and whole genome sequencing

In yard long bean, whole genome long-read sequencing of elite breeding line IT97K-499-35 by using PacBio Sequencing resulted in the generation of 56.8 Gb sequencing data. These sequences were assembled to 519 Mb and a total of 29773 protein-coding genes were identified from the assembly. More than half of the genome was represented by repetitive elements and as compared to other legumes, NBS-LRR overrepresented cowpea genome, SAUR-like auxin superfamilies and genomic regions associated with interaction with other plants such as Striga gesnerioides was also identified (Lonardi et al. 2019). Besides, the sum total of genes controlling specific environmental stimuli was reported in cowpeas. Many genes related to photosynthesis, photo-oxidation, reactive oxygen species and other metabolic pathways-related genes were identified in gamma irradiation through transcriptome analysis (Kang et al. 2021). A total of 19922 genes were identified for nematode tolerance in through comparative transcriptome analysis in resistant and susceptible near-isogenic lines (Santos et al. 2018). A total of 47,899 unigenes were identified for drought stress through transcriptome analysis and 500 genic SSR markers were mined from the transcriptome data (Chen et al. 2017). The genomic resources developed through whole genome and transcriptome sequencing are useful in the development of genomic and genic markers and further utilization in crop improvement programs.

Moreover, in cowpeas, genomic selection is an indirect selection of desirable plant types based on genomic estimated breeding values and is very useful in enhancing genetic gain. Genomic selection is a multi-disciplinary science and pre-requisite for implementation of genomic selection are genome-wide distributed markers, robust genomic prediction models, training and testing populations with heritable traits (Meuwissen et al. 2001; Rincent et al. 2012, 2017; Crossa et al. 2016). The benefits of genomic selection to enhance the genetic gain in crop breeding programs has been demonstrated in many vegetable crops (Cappetta et al. 2021; Liu et al. 2021) and fewer examples in cowpea are described below. A total of 305 RILs derived from eight-way magic populations derived from eight founding parents were genotyped with 51K Illumina Cowpea Consortium Array (Huynh et al. 2018) and genetic architecture for days to flowering, days to maturity and seed size (Olatoye et al., 2019). Additive and epistatic modules were incorporated into the genomic models of RR-BLUP and RKHS, revealing the presence of large and moderate epistatic interactions for these traits. Candidate genes such as Phytoclock1 and Phytochrome A were co-localized in the flowering QTLs. Flowering adaptation to photoperiodism was also regulated by distinct epistatic genes (Olatoye et al. 2019). Further, genomic prediction studies on this MAGIC RIL population revealed the presence of large genetic variation for drought tolerant indices, identified many SNPs associated with drought tolerance attributing traits through GWAS and genomic prediction revealed low to moderate prediction accuracies for drought attributing traits (Ravelombola et al. 2021). Genomic prediction and genomic selection schemes in cowpeas showed considerable improvement in predication accuracies and integrating with breeding schemes helps to enhance genetic gain.

Future prospects

Future breeding programs in cowpeas must aim to widen the genetic base of working germplasm and deploy new cultivars with new or novel alleles and genes for agronomic traits and resistance to biotic and abiotic stress tolerance. Therefore, breeding programs for cowpeas must focus on the following aspects:

- Characterization of germplasm using genome-wide markers is required to assess total genetic diversity in cowpea and further combining them with genomic prediction methods helps to identify trait-specific accessions with specific genomic regions.
- GWAS studies are required in cowpea for dissecting causative genomic regions or candidate genes for various agronomically important traits that help to enhance the utilization of germplasm in breeding programmes.
- Integration of genomic selection schemes in cowpea breeding programs can certainly help breeders enhance the higher genetic gain and effectively utilise germplasm or genetic diversity.

References

- Addy, S. N. T. T., Cichy, K. A., Adu-Dapaah, H, et al. (2020). Genetic Studies on the Inheritance of Storage-Induced Cooking Time in Cowpeas [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp]. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11, 444. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00444.
- Andargie, M., Knudsen, J.T., Pasquet, R. S., et al. (2014). Mapping of quantitative trait loci for floral scent compounds in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.). Plant Breeding, 133, 92-100. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12112.
- Andargie, M., Pasquet, R. S., Muluvi, G. M., & Timko, M. P. (2013). Quantitative trait loci analysis of flowering time related traits identified in recombinant inbred lines of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). Genome, 56, 289-294. https://doi.org/10.1139/gen-2013-0028.
- Angira, B., Zhang, Y., Scheuring, C.F., et al. (2020). Quantitative trait loci influencing days to flowering and plant height in cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. Mol. Genet. Genomics, 295, 1187-1195. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-020-01680-y.
- Arora, N. K. (2019). Impact of climate change on agriculture production and its sustainable solutions. Environment Sustainability, 2, 95-96. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42398-019-00078-w.
- Aryeetey, A. N., & Laing, E. (1973). Inheritance of yield components and their correlation with yield in cowpea (*Vigna ung uiculata* (L.) WALP.). Euphytica, 22, 386-392.
- Brachi, B., Morris, G. P., & Borevitz, J. O. (2011). Genome-wide association studies in plants: the missing heritability is in the field. Genome Biol., 12(232), 1-8.
- Cappetta, E., Andolfo, G., Guadagno, A., et al. (2021) Tomato genomic prediction for good performance under high-temperature and identification of loci involved in thermotolerance response. Horticultural Research, 8, 212.
- Chakraborty, S., Rajkumar., & Singh, M. (2003) Identification of source of resistance to cowpea golden mosaic geminivirus. Vegetable Science, 30(2), 110-114.
- Chakraborty, S., & Singh, M. (1999). Screening of cowpea cultivars against cowpea golden mosaic Gemini virus. Tests-of-Agroch Cultivars. 20, 40-41.
- Chen, H., Wang, L., Liu, X., et al. (2017). De novo transcriptomic analysis of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) for genic SSR marker development. BMC Genetics. 18, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12863-017-0531-5.
- Coulibaly, S., Pasquet, R. S., Papa, R., & Gepts, P. (2002). AFLP analysis of the phenetic organization and genetic diversity of *Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp. reveals extensive gene flow between wild and domesticated types. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 104, 358-366.
- Crossa, J., De Los Campos, G., Maccaferri, M., et al. (2016). Extending the marker × Environment interaction model for genomicenabled prediction and genome-wide association analysis in durum wheat. Crop Science. 56, 2193-2209. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2015.04.0260.
- Crosses, Y. B., Edematie, V. E., Fatokun, C., et al. (2021). Inheritance of Pod Length and Other Yield Components in Two Cowpea and Yard-Long Bean Crosses. Agronomy. 11(682), 1-17.
- da Silva, A.C., Santos, D., da, C., Junior, D. L. T., et al. (2019). Cowpea: A Strategic Legume Species for Food Security and Health. In: Jimenez-Lopez JC, Clemente A (eds) Legume Seed Nutraceutical Research. InTech Open, pp 47-65.

- Dinesh, H. B., Lohithaswa, H. C., Viswanatha, K. P., et al. (2016). Identification and marker-assisted introgression of QTL conferring resistance to bacterial leaf blight in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.). Plant Breeding. 135, 506-512. https://doi.org/doi:10.1111/pbr.12386.
- Drabo, I., Redden, R., Smithson, J. B., & Aggarwal, V. D. (1984). Inheritance of seed size in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L .) WALP .). Euphytica, 33, 929-934.
- Duangsong, U., Kaewwongwal, A., Somta, P., et al. (2016). Identification of a major QTL for resistance to Cercospora leaf spot disease in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L .) Walp .) revealed common genomic region with that for the resistance to angular leaf spot in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Euphytica, 209, 199-207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-016-1662-x.
- Duangsong, U., Laosati, K., Somta, P., & Srinives, P. (2018). Genetics of resistance to Cercospora leaf spot disease caused by *Cercospora canescens* and *Pseudocercospora cruenta* in yardlong bean (*Vigna unguiculata* ssp. *sesquipedalis*) × grain cowpea (*V. unguiculata* ssp. *unguiculata*) populations. Journal of Genetics. 97, 1439-1444. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12041-018-1003-z.
- Emechebe, A. M., & Fiorini, D. A. (1997) Shoot and pod diseases of cowpea induced by fungi and bacteria. In: Singh, B. B., Mohan Raj, D. R., Dashiell, K. E., Jackai, L. E. N. (eds) Advances in Cowpea Research. Sayce Publishing, Devon, UK, pp 176-192.
- Faris, D. G. (1965) The origin and evolution of the cultivated forms of *Vigna sinensis*. Genome, 7, 433-452. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/q65-058.
- Gonçalves, A., Goufo, P., Barros, A., et al. (2016) Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp), a renewed multipurpose crop for a more sustainable agri-food system: Nutritional advantages and constraints. Jounal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 96, 2941-2951.
- Gupta, S. K., & Gopalakrishna, T. (2010). Development of unigenederived SSR markers in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) and their transferability to other Vigna species. Genome, 53, 508-523.
- Hampton, R. O., Thottappilly, C., & Rosse, H. W. (1997) Viral diseases of cowpea and their control by resistance-conferring genes.
 In: Singh, B. B., Mohan Raj, D. R., Dashiell, K. E., & Jackai, L. E. N. (eds) Advances in Cowpea Research. Sayce Publishing, Devon, UK, pp. 159-175.
- Huang, H., Tan, H., Xu, D., et al. (2018) High-density genetic map construction and comparative genome analysis in asparagus bean. Scientific Reports, 8, 1-9.
- Huynh, B. L., Matthews, W. C., Ehlers, J. D., et al. (2016) A major QTL corresponding to the Rk locus for resistance to root-knot nematodes in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 129, 87-95. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-015-2611-0.
- ld, L. P., Yu, X., Shao, J., et al. (2019). Transcriptomic profiling and analysis of differentially expressed genes in asparagus bean (*Vigna unguiculata* ssp. *sesquipedalis*) under salt stress. PLoS One, 14(7), 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0219799.
- Jagginavan, S. B., Kulkarni, K. A., Lingappa, S. (1995). Reaction of cowpea genotypes to the damage of pod borer complex. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 89(1), 90-93.
- Jayathilake, C., Visvanathan, R., Deen, A., et al. (2018). Cowpea: an overview on its nutritional facts and health benefits. Journal

- of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 98, 4793-4806.
- Kang, R., Seo, E., Park. A., et al. (2021). A comparison of the transcriptomes of cowpeas in response to two different ionizing radiations. Plants, 10, 1-23. https://doi.org/10.3390/ plants10030567.
- Kearsey, M. J., & Hyne, V. (1994). QTL analysis: a simple 'marker-regression' approach. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 89, 698-702. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00223708.
- Kongjaimun, A., Kaga, A., Tomooka, N., et al. (2012a). The genetics of domestication of yardlong bean, Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. ssp. unguiculata cv.-gr. sesquipedalis. Annals of Botany, 109,1185-1200. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcs048.
- Kongjaimun, A., Kaga, A., Tomooka, N., et al. (2012b). An SSR-based linkage map of yardlong bean (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. subsp. *unguiculata Sesquipedalis* Group) and QTL analysis of pod length. Genome, 55, 81-92. https://doi.org/10.1139/g11-078.
- Kongjaimun, A., Somta, P., Tomooka, N., et al. (2013). QTL mapping of pod tenderness and total soluble solid in yardlong bean [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. subsp. unguiculata cv.-gr. sesquipedalis]. Euphytica, 189, 217-223. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-012-0781-2.
- Korte, A., & Farlow, A. (2013). The advantages and limitations of trait analysis with GWAS: A review. Plant Methods, 9, 1-9. https:// doi.org/10.1186/1746-4811-9-29.
- Lal, H., Singh, P. M., Nath, V., Singh, R. (2016). An impact assessment of vegetable cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. variety "Kashi Kanchan". Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences, India, Sect. B Biol. Sci., 86(3), 529-536. DOI 10.1007/ s40011-014-0477-6.
- Liu, C., Liu, X., Han, Y., et al. (2021). Genomic prediction and the Practical Breeding of 12 Quantitative-Inherited Traits in Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Frontiers in Plant Science, 12 https://doi.org/doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.729328
- Lo, S., Munoz-Amatriain, M., Hokin, S. A., et al. (2019). A genome-wide association and meta-analysis reveal regions associated with seed size in cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 132, 3079-3087.
- Lonardi, S., Munoz-Amatriain, M., Liang, Q., et al. (2019). The genome of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.). Plant Jounal, 98, 767-782. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14349.
- Lopes, F. C., da, C., Filho, R. L. F. G., Freire, F. R. (2003). Genetic control of cowpea seed sizes. Scientia Agricola, 60, 315-318.
- Lucas, M. R., Ehlers, J. D., Huynh, B. L., et al. (2013). Markers for breeding heat-tolerant cowpea. Molecular Breeding, 31, 529-536. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-012-9810-z.
- Marubodee, R., Ogiso-Tanaka, E., Isemura, T., et al. (2015). Construction of an SSR and RAD-marker based molecular linkage map of *Vigna vexillata* (L.) A. Rich. PLoS One, 10, 1-15.
- Mendelsohn, R. (2014) The impact of climate change on agriculture in Asia. Journal of Integrative Agriculture, 13, 660-665. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(13)60701-7.
- Meuwissen, T. H. E., Hayes, B. J., & Goddard, M. E. (2001). Prediction of total genetic value using genome-wide dense marker maps. Genetics, 157, 1819-1829.
- Miesho, B., Hailay, M., Msiska, U., et al. (2019). Identification of candidate genes associated with resistance to bruchid (*Callosobruchus maculatus*) in cowpea. Plant Breeding, 138, 605-613.
- Muchero, W., Ehlers, J. D., Close, T. J., Roberts, P. A. (2009). Mapping

- QTL for drought stress-induced premature senescence and maturity in cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 118, 849-863. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-008-0944-7.
- Munoz-Amatriain, M., Mirebrahim, H., Xu, P., et al. (2017). Genome resources for climate-resilient cowpea, an essential crop for food security. Plant Journal, 89, 1042-1054.
- Ohlson, E. W., Thio, G. I., Sawadogo, M., et al. (2018). Quantitative trait loci analysis of brown blotch resistance in cowpea variety KN1. Molecular Breeding, 38, 1-8.
- Olatoye, M. O., Hu, Z., & Aikpokpodion, P. O. (2019). Epistasis detection and modeling for genomic selection in cowpea (Vigna unguiculata. L. Walp.). Frontiers in Genetics, 10:1-14.
- Ombakho, G. A., Tyagi, A. P., & Pathak, R. S. (1987). Inheritance of resistance to the cowpea aphid in cowpea. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 6, 819. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00247562.
- Orawu, M., Melis, R., & Laing, M. (2012). Genetic inheritance of resistance to cowpea aphid-borne mosaic virus in cowpea Genetic inheritance of resistance to cowpea aphid-borne mosaic virus in cowpea. Euphytica, 189, 191-201. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-012-0756-3.
- Owusu, E. Y., Akromah, R., Denwar, N. N., et al. (2018). Inheritance of early maturity in some cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) genotypes under rain fed conditions in northern Ghana. Advances in Agriculture.
- Pan, L., Wang, N., Wu, Z., et al. (2017). A high density genetic map derived from RAD sequencing and its application in QTL analysis of yield-related traits in *Vigna unguiculata*. Frontiers in Plant Science, 8, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01544.
- Pasquet, R. S. (1996). Cultivated cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*): genetic organization and domestication. In: Advances in Legume Systematics 8: Legumes of Economic Importance. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, pp 101-108.
- Pasquet, R. S. (1998). Morphological study of cultivated cowpea Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. Importance of ovule number and definition of cv gr Melanophthalmus. Agronomie, 18, 61-70.
- Paudel, D., Dareus, R., Rosenwald, J., et al. (2021). Genome-Wide Association Study Reveals Candidate Genes for Flowering Time in Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.). Frontiers in Genetics, 12, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.667038.
- Qin, J., Shi, A., Mou, B., et al. (2017). Association mapping of aphid resistance in USDA cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp.) core collection using SNPs. Euphytica, 213, 1-10.
- Rachie, K. O. (1985). Introduction. In: Cowpea Research, Production and Utilization, (eds.) Singh, S.R. & Rachie, K.O., John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. xxii-xxviii.
- Ravelombola, W., Shi, A., & Huynh, B. L. (2021). Loci discovery, network-guided approach, and genomic prediction for drought tolerance index in a multi-parent advanced generation intercross (MAGIC) cowpea population. Horticulture Research, 8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41438-021-00462-w.
- Ray, S., & Satya, P. (2014). Next generation sequencing technologies for next generation plant breeding. Frontiers in Plant Science, 5(387),1-4.
- Reddy, B. R., Nagendran, K., Singh, B., et al. (2020). Accelerated breeding of cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.] for improved yield and pest resistance. In: Gosal, S. S., Wani, S. H. (eds) Accelerated Plant Breeding, Volume 2 Vegetable Crops.

- Springer Nature, Switzerland AG, pp 397-416.
- Remington, D. L., Ungerer, M. C., & Purugganan, M. D. (2001). Map-based cloning of quantitative trait loci: Progress and prospects. Genetics Research, 78, 213-218.
- Rincent, R., Charcosset, A., Moreau, L. (2017). Predicting genomic selection efficiency to optimize calibration set and to assess prediction accuracy in highly structured populations. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 130, 2231-2247. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-017-2956-7.
- Rincent, R., Laloe, D., Nicolas, S., et al. (2012) Maximizing the reliability of genomic selection by optimizing the calibration set of reference individuals: Comparison of methods in two diverse groups of maize inbreds (Zea mays L.). Genetics, 192, 715-728.
- Santos, J. R. P., Ndeve, A. D., Huynh, B. L., et al. (2018) QTL mapping and transcriptome analysis of cowpea reveals candidate genes for root-knot nematode resistance. PLoS One, 13, 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189185.
- Seido, S. L., Santos, C. A. F. (2019) Genetic linkage map and mapping of the locus of biological nitrogen fixation inefficiency in cowpea. Acta Scientiarum-Agronomy, 41, 1-8.
- Seo, E., Kim, K., Jun, T. H., et al. (2020). Population structure and genetic diversity in korean cowpea germplasm based on snp markers. Plants, 9, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9091190.
- Shi, A., Buckley, B., Mou, B., et al. (2016). Association analysis of cowpea bacterial blight resistance in USDA cowpea germplasm. Euphytica, 208, 143-155.
- Singh, J., Kalloo, G., Singh, K. P. (2001). Vegetable Crops: Nutritional Security. Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi, pp 56.
- Singh, M., Singh, A., Singh, S., et al. (2010). Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) as a green manure to improve the productivity of a menthol mint (Mentha arvensis L.) intercropping system. Industrial Crops and Products, 31, 289-293.
- Singh, S., Sahay, G., Misra, A. K., et al. (2018). Nutritive value of cowpea fodder cultivars and their effect on nutrients intake, digestibility and nitrogen balance in sheep. Indian Journal of Animal Science, 88, 567-573.
- Smartt, J. (1984). Gene pools in grain legumes. Economic Botany, 38, 24-35.
- Timko, M. P., & Singh, B. B. (2008). Cowpea, a Multifunctional Legume. *In*: P.H. Moore, R. Ming (*eds.*), Genomics of Tropical Crop Plants. Springer-Verlag: Berlin Heidelberg, pp 227-258.
- Uguru, M. (1995). Inheritance of colour patterns in cowpea. Indian Journal of Genetics, 55, 379-383.
- Valdisser, P. A. M. R., Muller, B. S. F., de Almeida Filho, J. E., et al. (2020). Genome-Wide Association Studies Detect Multiple QTLs for Productivity in Mesoamerican Diversity Panel of Common Bean Under Drought Stress. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11, 1-22.
- Vanitha, S. M., Roy, S., & Singh, N. 2022. Ex-post economic impact assessment of vegetable cowpea var. Kashi Kanchan using economic surplus model. Vegetable Science, 49(2), 163-168.
- Veeranna, R., & Hussain, M. A. (1997). Trichomes as physical barriers for cowpea pod borer *Maruca testulalis* (Geyer) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Insect Environment, 3(1),15.
- Veerappa, R. (1998). Phenol and tannin reduce the damage of cowpea pod borer *Maruca testulalis*. Insect Environment 4, 5-6.

- Vlk, D., & Repkova, J. (2017). Application of Next-Generation Sequencing in Plant Breeding. Czech Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding, 2017, 89-96. https://doi.org/10.17221/192/2016-CJGPB.
- Watcharatpong, P., Kaga, A., Chen, X., & Somta, P. (2020). Narrowing down a major QTL region conferring pod fiber contents in yardlong bean (*Vigna unguiculata*), a vegetable cowpea. Genes, 11:1-12. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11040363.
- Wu, X., Li, G., Wang, B., et al. (2018). Fine mapping Ruv2, a new rust resistance gene in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*), to a 193-kb region enriched with NBS-type genes. Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 131, 2709-2718. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-018-3185-4.
- Xia, Q., Pan, L., Zhang, R., et al. (2019). The genome assembly of asparagus. Scientific Data, 6, 124, 1-10.
- Xu, P., Wu, X., Wang, B., et al. (2010). Development and polymorphism of *Vigna unguiculata* ssp. unguiculata microsatellite markers used for phylogenetic analysis in asparagus bean (*Vigna unguiculata* ssp. sesquipedialis (L.) Verdc.). Molecular

- Breeding, 25, 675-684.
- Xu, P., Wu, X., Wang, B., et al. (2011). A SNP and SSR based genetic map of asparagus bean (Vigna unguiculata ssp. sesquipedialis) and comparison with the broader species. PLoS One, 6.
- Xu, P., Wu, X., Wang, B., et al. (2012). Genome wide linkage disequilibrium in Chinese asparagus bean (Vigna. unguiculatassp. sesquipedialis) germplasm: Implications for domestication history and genome wide association studies. Heredity, 109, 34-40. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2012.8.
- Zhang, H., Xu, W., Chen, H., et al. (2020). Evaluation and QTL Mapping of Salt Tolerance in Yardlong Bean [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. Subsp. unguiculata Sesquipedalis Group] Seedlings. Plant Molecular Biology Reports, 38, 294-304.
- Zougmore, R., Kambou, F. N., Ouattara, K., & Guillobez, S. (2000). Sorghum-cowpea intercropping: An effective technique against runoff and soil erosion in the sahel (saria, burkina faso). Arid Soil Research and Rehabilitation, 14, 329-342. https://doi.org/10.1080/08903060050136441.

सारांश

लोबिया एक स्व-परागण करने वाली अनाज की फलियों, चारे और सिज्जियों की फसल है, जिसे दुनिया भर में विभिन्न उष्णकिटबंधीय और उपोष्णकिटबंधीय जलवायु में उगाया जाता है। इसकी पौष्टिकता और मृद्रा सुधार गुणों के कारण, इसे चारे, हरी खाद और आवरण फसल के रूप में भी इस्तेमाल किया जाता है। एक दलहनी फसल होने के नाते, लोबिया इंटर-क्रॉपिंग प्रणाली में अच्छी तरह से फिट होती है। कई जैविक तनाव जैसे कि कवक, बैक्टीरिया और वायरस के कारण होने वाली बीमारियाँ, लोबिया की फसल की पैदावार को काफी प्रभावित करती हैं। इन समस्याओं के अलावा, सूखा, लवणता और ठंड जैसे अजैविक तनाव उभरती हुई समस्याएं हैं। इसलिए, प्रतिरोधी जीनपूलों की पहचान करके कई प्रतिरोधी किस्मों या जीनोटाइपों का विकास आवश्यक है। सब्जी लोबिया प्रजनन कार्यक्रम का उद्देश्य सब्जी के रूप में उपयोग के लिए कोमल फली वाली झाड़ी या अर्ध-निर्धारित वृद्धि वाली लोबिया की किस्मों का विकास करना है। उच्च उपज वाली किस्मों के विकास के अलावा, गोल्डन मोज़ेक रोग, सर्कोस्पोरा पत्ती धब्बा और एन्थ्रेक्रोज जैसी बीमारियों के प्रतिरोध, ब्रूचिड्स, पॉड बोरर जैसे कीटों के प्रतिरोध और सूखा, लवणता और उच्च तापमान तनाव जैसे अजैविक तनावों के प्रति सहनशीलता महत्वपूर्ण है। इसे प्राप्त करने के लिए पारंपरिक प्रजनन के साथ-साथ मार्कर असिस्टेड चयन और जीनोमिक चयन जैसी आणविक विधियाँ वांछनीय गुणों के चयन की उपयोगिता को बढ़ाती हैं और दो या दो से अधिक बीमारियों के संयुक्त प्रतिरोध के साथ कम समय में किस्मों के विकास में भी मदद करती हैं। लोबिया में जीनोमिक प्रिडिक्शन और जीनोमिक चयन योजनाओं ने प्रिडिक्शन सटीकता में काफी सुधार दिखाया है और प्रजनन योजनाओं के साथ एकीकृत करने से आनुवंशिक लाभ को बढ़ाने में मदद मिलती है।