

Nitrogen management in potato for maximum tuber yield, quality and environmental conservation

Udit Kumar^{1*}, Girish Chandra² and Manoj Raghav

Received: July 2017 / Accepted: November 2017

Abstract

The present investigation was undertaken on “Nitrogen management in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.)” and to identify a carefully controlled nitrogen application rate and better synchronization between applied nitrogen and potato nitrogen uptake at Vegetable Research Centre of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand during *rabi* season of 2012-13 and 2013-14. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design consisting of nine treatments replicated thrice. The pooled data of two years investigation revealed that the performance of potato crop was significantly influenced by different split nitrogen doses. Among all treatments, treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray@ 2% urea at 40 DAP) was found best with respect to overall plant growth, yield (34.98 t/ha), protein content (7.56 %) and benefit: cost ratio (1.81). The treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray@ 2% urea at 40 DAP) not only give 18.09 % and 43.48 % more yield over the treatment T₁ (RDF:50% basal N + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP) and T₉ (No application of nitrogen), respectively, but also saves 22 % nitrogen. The dry matter content of potato was recorded maximum (19.48%) with treatment T₈ and specific gravity (1.09) with treatment T₉. Based on overall performance, it could be concluded that under prevalent climatic conditions of Uttarakhand Tarai region, 50% basal N + 25% top dressing N at 25 DAP + one foliar spray@ 2% urea at 40 DAP is the best in terms of higher and economic yield of potato than RDF (50% basal N + 50% top dressing N at 25 DAP) and also in reducing N losses to the environment.

Keyword: Potato, Nitrogen management, Growth, Yield, Quality

Department of Vegetable Science, GBPUAT, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand

¹Department of Horticulture, Dr. RPCAU, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar

²Department of Life Science, SGRR University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand

*Corresponding author, Email: udithort@gmail.com

Introduction

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is an important member of the family Solanaceae. It is grown and consumed all around the world and is one of the main vegetable cash crop. Potato is an integral part of human diet. In India, potato is being cultivated on 2.12 million hectare area with a total annual production of 434.17 lakh MT (Anonymous 2016). In Uttarakhand, it is cultivated on 24.71 thousand hectare area with production of 434400.00 MT and a productivity of 17.37 t/ha (Anonymous, 2014). Potato is a prime crop of Uttarakhand state as it is a good source of income and employment generation state also serves as an off-season crop in fulfilling a part of total demand of plains. Nitrogen (N) is recognized as the most limiting nutrient to potato crops (Li et al. 1999). Inadequate N fertilization leads to poorer potato growth and yield while excessive N application leads to delayed maturity, poor tuber quality, and occasionally a reduction in tuber yield (Cerny et al. 2010). With rising environmental concerns for N fertilizer management practices, efficient N use is important for the economic sustainability of cropping systems (Shrestha et al. 2010). It is important that efficient nitrogen management practices are developed that consider cultivar physiological responses to total nitrogen application as well as to the physiological stage when the nitrogen is applied to maximize yields, tuber quality, and economic returns while reducing N losses to the environment. Despite of suitable climatic conditions for potato production in the state, the farmer of the state are unable to harvest it full potential. Out of the several factors responsible for that the imbalance use of fertilizer, particularly nitrogen resulting into low yield realized by farmers.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was undertaken at Vegetable Research Centre of Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar during Rabi

season of 2012-13 and 2013-14. The soil of the experimental field is sandy loam having pH 7.10 and available N (145.6 kg/ha), P (21.67 kg/ha), K (180.1 kg/ha) and organic carbon (0.92 %). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The treatments detail comprised of T₁; RDF 160:100:120 NPK kg/ha (50% basal N + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP), T₂; 50% basal N + one foliar spray @ 2% urea at 25 DAP, T₃; 50% basal N + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray @ 2% urea at 40 DAP, T₄; 50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray @ 2% urea at 40 DAP, T₅; 50% basal N + three foliar spray @ 2% urea at 25, 40, 55 DAP, T₆; 50% basal N + two foliar spray @ 2% urea at 25 and 40 DAP, T₇; 25% basal N + 75% top dressing at 25 DAP, T₈; 25% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray @ 2% urea at DAP. The source of nitrogen was urea (46% N). Well-sprouted seed tubers of potato cv. Kufri Sadabahar, size 50-60 g were planted during fourth week of October in both the years. The potato crop was de-haulmed at 90 days after planting. Rest of the agronomic package of practices adopted was as per recommendation for potato cultivation. Observations that were directly and indirectly related to plant height, number of haulms per hill, number of leaves per plant, tuber yield like number of tuber per hectare, etc were recorded. The number of tuber/ha and total yield per hectare was estimated. The observed data were then subjected to statistical analysis of variance (Sukhatme and Amble 1995).

Results and Discussion

Plant growth parameters: The plant growth parameters *e.g.* plant height (54.11cm), number of leaves per hills(42.15), stem girth(8.65 mm) and fresh weight of plant (246.11 g/plant) were showed significant effect and recorded maximum with treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP) and lowest with treatment T₉ (No application of nitrogen) whereas emergence per cent after 20 days of planting and numbers of haulms

per plant were found non-significant effect. The maximum leaf area index 5.47 was recorded with the treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP) which was statistically at par with treatment T₅ (5.05). The data showed significant effect on chlorophyll 'a' content of leaves and found maximum (0.77 mg/ g) with the treatment T3 (50% basal N + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP) followed by T4 (0.75 mg/ g) and T1(0.73 mg/ g). The highest level of chlorophyll 'b' content was observed 0.90 mg/ g with treatment T4 (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP) which was statistically at par with treatment T3 (0.88 mg/ g) . In all the plant growth parameters RDF treatment T₁ (RDF: 50% basal N + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP) were found lowest than T4 (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP). Moshileh *et al.* (2005) reported that splitting N rates into three doses applied equally at 0, 45, and 60 days after planting improved plant growth characters. A similar finding was also reported by Rizk *et al.* (2013).

Grade wise number of tuber/ha: The larger size tubers (> 75 g) was recorded maximum (251.54 thousand/ha) with the treatment T4 (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP) which was statistically at par with treatments T5 (229.60 thousand/ha). Minimum number of tubers was observed (55.80 thousand/ha) with treatment T9 (No application of nitrogen). The results indicated that there is an increase in aggregate number of tuber with mode of application (basal + top dress + foliar spray) or (basal + 3 foliar spray). The highest numbers of >75 g and 51-75 g grade tubers were obtained in treatments T4 (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP) compared to treatment T2 (50% basal N + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 25 DAP) which have the maximum numbers of grade 26-50 g and 0-25g tubers. The grade wise increase in number of tubers may be due to increased

Table 1: Effect of nitrogen management on vegetative parameters of potato

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | No. of leaves per hills | Stem girth (mm) | Fresh weight of plant (g/plant) | LAI | Chlorophyll 'a' | Chlorophyll 'b' |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|
| T ₁ | 47.97 | 37.77 | 7.19 | 207.15 | 4.65 | 0.73 | 0.84 |
| T ₂ | 45.72 | 34.49 | 6.49 | 181.59 | 3.87 | 0.68 | 0.81 |
| T ₃ | 53.19 | 40.64 | 8.43 | 240.22 | 5.24 | 0.75 | 0.88 |
| T ₄ | 54.11 | 42.15 | 8.65 | 246.11 | 5.47 | 0.77 | 0.90 |
| T ₅ | 52.33 | 39.60 | 8.00 | 234.36 | 5.05 | 0.75 | 0.86 |
| T ₆ | 52.09 | 37.82 | 7.95 | 230.15 | 4.30 | 0.71 | 0.82 |
| T ₇ | 46.93 | 35.69 | 7.19 | 197.36 | 3.22 | 0.70 | 0.80 |
| T ₈ | 48.32 | 37.19 | 6.92 | 225.68 | 3.77 | 0.72 | 0.83 |
| T ₉ | 42.01 | 29.69 | 5.54 | 157.85 | 2.34 | 0.58 | 0.69 |
| S.Em± | 0.62 | 0.96 | 0.17 | 6.79 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| CD at 5% | 1.77 | 2.73 | 0.50 | 19.42 | 0.64 | 0.05 | 0.03 |

photosynthetic activity and translocation of photosynthates to the roots which might helped in the initiation of more stolon in potato (Anand and Krishnappa 1989). These results supported by the finding of Kumar and Trehan (2012).

Grade wise tuber yield/ha: Maximum yield of 51-75 g tubers recorded (12.57 t/ha) with the treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP). It was significantly superior over other treatments. Minimum yield of 51-75 g tuber was recorded (4.74 t/ha) with treatment T₀ (No application of nitrogen). The larger size tubers (> 75 g) yield were recorded maximum (19.80 t/ha) with the treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP). It was statistically at par with treatments T₅ (17.80 t/ha). Minimum yield of >75 g tuber was recorded (11.09 t/ha) with treatment T₀ (No application of nitrogen).

Total yield of potato tuber: Maximum yield was observed (34.98 t/ha) with treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP). It was significantly superior over others treatments. Lowest yield was recorded (19.77 t/ha) with treatment T₀ (No application of nitrogen). This may be because of a better synchrony

between nitrogen supply and demand. These results are consistent with our study in which split application of nitrogen led to higher tuber yield. In order to get an ideal yield, the plant should be kept green during tuber bulking stage to produce carbohydrates, but the plant should senesce near harvest to promote redistribution of carbohydrates to tubers (Lei et al. 2012). Similar results were also found by Chowdhury et al. (2002) and Saeidi et al. (2009). Also Sun (2012) reported that the positive effect of split application of urea on tuber yield might be due to the improvement in plant emergence and early vegetative growth.

Harvest index: The maximum harvest index (69.54%) was obtained from treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP). It was statistically at par with the treatments T₃ (68.69%). Minimum harvest index (60.30%) was obtained from treatment T₀ (No application of nitrogen). A critical observation of the data revealed that the harvest index of potato crop was increased because of a better synchrony between N supply and demand. The results indicated that there was an increase in harvest index with the application higher dose along with split application (basal + top dress + spray) of nitrogen. Such increase in harvest index is may be due to the fact that as higher the plant biomass,

Table 2: Effect of nitrogen management on yield and it yield attributing parameters of potato

| Treatments | Number of tubers (000/ha) | | | | Grade wise yield of potato tuber (t/ha) | | | | Potato yield t/ ha | Harvest index (%) |
|----------------|---------------------------|---------|---------|--------|---|---------|---------|-------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | 0-25g | 26-50 g | 51-75 g | >75 g | 0-25g | 26-50 g | 51-75 g | >75 g | | |
| T ₁ | 196.33 | 173.83 | 172.16 | 182.35 | 1.85 | 1.41 | 9.96 | 15.44 | 28.65 | 64.74 |
| T ₂ | 239.17 | 216.85 | 142.53 | 96.70 | 2.23 | 1.93 | 5.96 | 12.22 | 22.33 | 62.57 |
| T ₃ | 177.31 | 165.34 | 211.27 | 233.61 | 1.66 | 1.36 | 10.98 | 17.82 | 31.82 | 68.69 |
| T ₄ | 151.48 | 136.85 | 242.47 | 251.54 | 1.43 | 1.18 | 12.57 | 19.80 | 34.98 | 69.54 |
| T ₅ | 158.15 | 149.66 | 218.61 | 229.60 | 1.48 | 1.25 | 11.31 | 17.80 | 31.84 | 67.25 |
| T ₆ | 185.71 | 191.08 | 166.82 | 167.68 | 1.77 | 1.75 | 9.25 | 14.20 | 26.96 | 63.46 |
| T ₇ | 222.65 | 199.48 | 155.68 | 136.45 | 2.08 | 1.81 | 7.22 | 14.83 | 25.93 | 63.82 |
| T ₈ | 209.07 | 183.09 | 157.13 | 157.25 | 1.95 | 1.61 | 8.35 | 15.00 | 26.92 | 64.68 |
| T ₉ | 158.21 | 145.06 | 67.10 | 55.80 | 2.10 | 1.85 | 4.74 | 11.09 | 19.77 | 60.30 |
| S.Em± | 10.44 | 4.24 | 10.64 | 9.48 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.35 | 0.71 | 0.91 | 0.76 |
| CD at 5% | 29.84 | 12.14 | 30.42 | 27.12 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 1.01 | 2.03 | 2.61 | 2.16 |

Table 3: Effect of nitrogen management on quality, NUE, NAR and Benefit Cost Ratio

| Treatments | Dry matter content (%) | Protein content (%) | Specific gravity (g/cm ³) | Nitrogen use efficiency (kg tuber/kg N) | Nitrogen apparent recovery (%) | B: C Ratio (1 st Year) | B: C Ratio (2 nd Year) |
|----------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| T ₁ | 16.35 | 7.25 | 1.03 | 55.50 | 41.63 | 1.46 | 2.53 |
| T ₂ | 16.65 | 7.00 | 1.07 | 30.18 | 51.19 | 1.25 | 1.84 |
| T ₃ | 16.57 | 7.52 | 1.04 | 73.16 | 57.43 | 1.62 | 2.82 |
| T ₄ | 18.27 | 7.56 | 1.07 | 122.05 | 80.01 | 1.81 | 3.08 |
| T ₅ | 17.14 | 7.38 | 1.06 | 128.59 | 75.98 | 1.61 | 2.84 |
| T ₆ | 17.05 | 7.16 | 1.05 | 80.59 | 69.43 | 1.37 | 2.43 |
| T ₇ | 15.89 | 6.87 | 1.04 | 38.50 | 21.56 | 1.34 | 2.26 |
| T ₈ | 19.48 | 6.94 | 1.08 | 84.42 | 71.26 | 1.48 | 2.26 |
| T ₉ | 18.65 | 6.63 | 1.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.19 | 1.72 |
| S.Em± | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 8.25 | 5.93 | | |
| CD at 5% | 0.42 | 0.28 | 0.03 | 23.60 | 16.95 | | |

the higher will be the flow of assimilates to the tubers hence resulting in higher tuber yield. These results are in close conformity with the findings of Singh and Lal (2012) who reported that the harvest index and bulking rate increased with increase in nitrogen dose up to 150 kg/ha and potassium dose up to 100 kg K₂O/ha.

Dry matter content: Maximum dry matter content was obtained (19.48%) with treatment T₈ (25% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at DAP) which were significantly superior over others treatments. Minimum dry matter content was obtained (15.89%) with the treatment T₇ (25% basal N + 75% top dressing at 25 DAP). It was observed that the lower dose of nitrogen and split application gave higher dry matter content of tuber and it was decreased with the increase in nitrogen dose. These results are in close conformity with the findings of Sun *et al.* (2012) who concluded that the higher tuber dry matter accumulation was associated with a high transportation efficiency of assimilates from vine to tubers after tuberization. The accumulation and distribution of dry matter within plants are important processes determining crop productivity. This is what happened in our study in treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP) where total dry matter was relatively higher than T₁. Different researchers have reported differently; Singh and Singh (1994) reported that basal dressing cum foliar application had a significant effect on tuber dry matter. On the other hand, Trawczynski (2001) reported that methods of nitrogen application had no significant effect on tuber dry matter.

Protein content of potato tubers: Highest protein content was recorded (7.56%) with treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP). It was statistically at par with the treatments T₃ (7.52%) and T₅ (7.38%). Minimum protein content was recorded (6.63%) with the treatment T₉ (No application of nitrogen). The earlier studies of Abd El-Badea *et al.* (2011), Davoud *et al.* (2009) on potatoes, and Abd El-Samad *et al.* (2011) on onion, , all of their results are in good similar of that recorded herein.

Specific gravity: The treatment T₉ (No application of nitrogen) gave highest specific gravity (1.09 g/cm³) which was at par with T₈ (1.08 g/cm³) and T₄ (1.07 g/cm³) and minimum specific gravity (1.04 g/cm³) was recorded in treatment T₃ (50% basal N + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP) and T₇ (25% basal N + 75% top dressing at 25 DAP). It might be due to the better vegetative growth of plants and translocation of photosynthates which

resulted in more reserve food accumulation in tubers. The decrease in specific gravity might be due to decrease in dry matter content of tuber with increasing nitrogen dose which is responsible for increased nitrogen content in potato tubers. These finding was in agreement to Zinada (2009).

Nitrogen use efficiency of potato plant: The data indicated that the different nitrogen treatments significantly affect the nitrogen use efficiency of potato plants. Maximum nitrogen use efficiency (128.59 tuber/kg N) with treatment T₅ (50% basal N + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP), which was statistically at par with treatment T₄ (122.05 tuber/kg N). The importance of splitting N applications was emphasized by Jaamati *et al.* (2010) who showed that dividing total nitrogen into two or more applications would assist in enhancing the nutrient efficiency, promote optimum yield and mitigate the loss of nutrients and hence bigger potatoes. Peter *et al.* (2015) also reported that nitrogen applications which are split between pre-plant and in-season provide opportunities to increase nitrogen use efficiency and minimize leaching by preventing excess availability while

Nitrogen apparent recovery: Data showed significant response of nitrogen management to nitrogen apparent recovery of potato and was recorded maximum (80.01%) with treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray @ 2% urea at 40 DAP), which was statistically at par with treatment T₅ (50% basal N + three foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 25, 40, 55 DAP), T₈ (25% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at DAP) and T₆ (50% basal N + two foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 25 and 40 DAP) having 75.98%, 71.26% and 69.43% respectively. The results indicated that the split application of nitrogen *i.e.* (basal + top dress + spray) increases the nitrogen apparent recovery per cent. This is might be due to the fact that the split application of nitrogen leads to more efficient nitrogen uptake there by increasing the nitrogen apparent recovery per cent as compared to that of T₁ *i.e.* (basal + top dress). These results are in conformity with the findings of Sharma and Sud (2001) who reported that the recovery efficiencies of K and N fertilizer on potato increased at 100 kg K₂O and 150 kg N/ ha.

Benefit: Cost ratio: In first year experiment, the maximum benefit: cost ratio was recorded (1.81) with treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP) followed by treatment T₃ (50% basal N + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP) having 1.62. The minimum benefit: Cost ratio

observed (1.19) with treatment T₉ (No application of nitrogen). In second year, highest benefit: cost ratio (3.08) was recorded with treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 40 DAP) followed by (2.84) with treatment T₅ (50% basal N + three foliar spray of urea @ 2% at 25, 40, 55 DAP). Lowest benefit: cost ratio was observed (1.72) with treatment T₉ (No application of nitrogen).

Conclusion

On the basis of present investigation, it can be concluded that the nitrogen management (Basal + top dressed + foliar) found more beneficial to the potato crop as compared to RDF (basal + top dressing) and control. It not only save the valuable nitrogen but also improve their availability to the crop. Treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray @ 2% urea at 40 DAP) produced maximum tuber yield as well as maximum B: C ratio and is more suitable to improve most of the quality characters as compared to rest of the treatments. The treatment T₄ (50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray @ 2% urea at 40 DAP) not only recorded 18.09 % and 43.48 % more yield over the treatment T₁ (RDF:50% basal N + 50% top dressing at 25 DAP) and T₉ (No application of nitrogen) respectively but also saves 22 % nitrogen. The farmers can apply less amount of urea to their field and get maximum return which is the sole object of farmer's to grow potato crop. Because the nitrogen use efficiency and nitrogen apparent recovery was recorded better than RDF, the loss of nitrogen to the environment is also minimized. Hence, on the basis of the present studies, the split application of nitrogen (basal + top dressing + spray) i.e. 50% basal N + 25% top dressing at 25 DAP + one foliar spray @ 2% urea at 40 DAP can be recommended to get maximum tuber yield and higher net returns from the potato crop.

Acknowledgements

The help and support provided during the course of investigation by Department of Vegetable Science, College of Agriculture, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand is duly acknowledged.

सारांश

आलू (*Solanum tuberosum* एल.) में नाइट्रोजन प्रबंधन का मूल्यांकन करने के लिए वर्तमान परीक्षण किया गया और (ध्यानपूर्वक नियंत्रित नाइट्रोजन का आवेदन दर और समकालिक नाइट्रोजन और प्रायोगिक नाइट्रोजन के बीच बेहतर ग्रहण की पहचान) करने के लिए पंत गोविन्द बल्लभ पंत कृषि एवं प्रौद्योगिकी विश्वविद्यालय, पंतनगर

(उत्तराखण्ड) 2012-13 और 2013-14 के रबी मौसम के दौरान प्रयोग कोयादृच्छिक ब्लॉक डिजाइन में किया गया था, जिसमें नौ उपचार को तीन बार दोहराया गया था। दो साल की जांच के एकत्रित आंकड़ों से स्पष्ट हुआ कि आलू की फसल का प्रदर्शन अलग-अलग विभाजित नाइट्रोजन की मात्रा से काफी प्रभावित था। सभी उपचारों में, पौधों की वृद्धि व उपज (34.98 टन/हे.) हेतु, प्रोटीन (7.56 प्रतिशत) हेतु तथा लाभ : लागत अनुपात (1:81) हेतु टी₄ (50 प्रतिशत बेसल एन + 25 प्रतिशत टॉप, कंद रोपण के 25 दिन उपरान्त + 2 प्रतिशत यूरिया का छिड़काव) उत्तम पाया गया। उपचार टी₄ (50 प्रतिशत आधारिय नत्रजन + 25 प्रतिशत टाप डेसींग कन्द रोपण के 25 दिन उपरान्त + 2 प्रतिशत यूरिया का छिड़काव पौध रोपण के 40 दिनों के उपरान्त न केवल 18.09 प्रतिशत और 43.48 प्रतिशत अधिक उपचार उपज देता है। टी₁ (आरडीएफ : 50 प्रतिशत + पौध रोपण के 25 दिन के उपरान्त 50 प्रतिशत टाप ड्रेसिंग) और टी₉ (नाइट्रोजन का कोई प्रयोग नहीं) से 22 प्रतिशत नाइट्रोजन का बचाव हुआ। आलु शुष्क भार की मात्रा उपचार (टी₄) के साथ अधिकतम (19.48 प्रतिशत) और विशिष्ट गुरुत्वाकर्षण (1.09) दर्ज की गयी। समग्र निष्पादन के आधार पर, यह निष्कर्ष निकाला जा सकता है कि उत्तराखण्ड तराई क्षेत्र की प्रचलित जलवायु परिस्थितियों में, 50 प्रतिशत बेसल एन + 25 प्रतिशत नाइट्रोजन टॉप ड्रेसिंग कन्द रोपण के 25 दिनों के उपरान्त तथा 2 प्रतिशत यूरिया का छिड़काव रोपण के 40 दिनों के उपरान्त पत्तियों पर छिड़काव अधिक व आर्थिक उपज हेतु पाया गया।

References

- Abd El-Badea S, Ezzat A, El-Awady A and Hamdino MI (2011) Improving nitrogen utilization efficiency by potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). B. Effect of irrigation intervals, nitrogen rates and veterra Hydrogel on growth, yield, quality nutrient uptake and storability. *Nature Sci* 9 (7): 34-42.
- Abd El-Samad EH, Khalifa M, Lashine ZA and Shafeek MR (2011) Influence of urea fertilization and foliar application of some micronutrients on growth yield and bulb quality of onion. *Australian J Basic Appl Sci* 5 (5): 96-103.
- Anand S and Krishnaappa K (1989) Dry matter accumulation and nutrient uptake by potato cv. Kufri Badshah as affected by different levels of N and K in sandy loam soil. *Mysore J Agril Sci* 23: 65-70.
- Anonymous (2016) Statical Data Base of the National Horticulture Board and Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.
- Anonymous (2014) Uttarakhand At A Glance. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Uttarakhand.
- Cerny J, Balk J, Kulhanek M, Casova K and Nedved V (2010) Mineral and organic fertilization efficiency in long-term stationary experiments. *Plant Soil Env* 56: 28-36.
- Chowdhury MRI, Sarwar AKMG and Farooque AM (2002) Effect of nitrogen and its methods of application on growth and yield in potato. *J. Bio. Sci.*, 2 (9): 616-619.
- Davoud H, Hosienzadeh AA, Dahdar B, Allahyari N and Imanparast L (2009) Effect of different rates of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers on yield and yield components of Savalan potato cultivar mini-tubers. *J Food Agric Environ* 7 (2): 415-418
- Jamaati-e-Somarin S, Zabih-e-Mahmoodabad R and Yari A (2010)

- Yield and yield components of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tuber as affected by nitrogen fertilizer and plant density. *Aust J Basic Applied Sci* 4 (8): 3128-3131.
- Kumar M and Tehran SP (2012) Influence of potato cultivars and N levels on contribution of organic amendments to N nutrition. *Potato J* 39 (2): 133-144.
- Li H, Parent LE and Tremblay C (1999) Potato response to crop sequence and nitrogen fertilization following sod breakup in a Gleyed Humo-Ferric Podzol. *Can J Plant Sci* 79: 439-446.
- Moshileh AMA, Errebhi MA and Motawei MI (2005) Effect of various potassium and nitrogen rates and splitting methods on potato under sandy soil and arid environmental conditions. *Emir J Agric Sci* 17 (1): 1-9.
- Peter M, Katsaruware RD and Ezekia S (2015) Effect of split nitrogen application and fertilizer rate on yield of Irish potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum*) in a smallholder farming sector of Zimbabwe. *Int J Innov Sci Engin Tech* 2 (1): 112-123.
- Rizk FA, Shaheen AM, Singer SM and Sawan OA (2013) The productivity of potato plants affected by urea fertilizer as foliar spraying and humic acid added with irrigation water. *Middle East J Agric. Res* 2 (2): 76-83.
- Saeidi M, Tobeh A, Raei Y, Hassanzadeh M, Jamaati-e-Somarin S and Roohi A (2009) Evaluation of tuber size and nitrogen fertilizer on nitrogen uptake and nitrate accumulation in potato tuber. *Res J Environ Sci* 3 (3): 278-284.
- Sharma RC and Sud KC (2001) Potassium management for yield and quality of potato. *In*: N. Pasricha and S. Bansal, eds. *Proceedings of an international symposium on the role of potassium in nutrient management for sustainable crop production in India*. International Potash Institute, Basel, Switzerland pp. 363-381.
- Shrestha RK, Cooperb LR and MacGuidwin AE (2010) Strategies to reduce nitrate leaching into groundwater in potato grown in sandy soils: case study from North Central USA. *Am. J. Potato Res.*, 87: 229-244.
- Singh SK and Lal SS (2012) Effect of potassium nutrition on potato yield, quality and nutrient use efficiency under varied levels of nitrogen application. *Potato J* 39 (2): 155-165.
- Singh TP and Singh RP (1994) Effect of rates and methods of nitrogen application on biomass and tuber production of potato. *Crop Res* 8: 637-639.
- Sukhatame PV and Amble VN (1995) *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 145-56.
- Sun L, Liulian G, Xianlong P, Liu Y, Li X and Yan X (2012) Effects of nitrogen fertilizer application time on dry matter accumulation and yield of chinese potato variety KX 13. *Potato Res* 55: 303-313
- Trawczynski C (2001) The influence of the method of urea application on the content of N-mineral in the soil and the yielding of potato. *Annales* 59 (2): 687-696.
- Zinada IAIA (2009) Potato response to potassium and nitrogen fertilization under gaza strip conditions. *J Al Azhar Univ.-Gaza (Natural Sciences)* 11: 15-30.