Vegetable Science (2023) 50(1): 65-72 doi: 10.61180/vegsci.2023.v50.i1.09 ISSN- 0970-6585 (Print), ISSN- 2455-7552 (Online)

RESEARCH PAPER



OPEN ACCESS

Standardization of pre-sowing organic seed encrustation treatments on seedling parameters of onion (*Allium cepa*) under ambient storage conditions

Nafisa Ekka^{*} and Prashant K Rai

Abstract

The present study was conducted from March to June at Post Graduate Lab Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, SHUATS experiment was conducted in factorial CRD with four replications. The onion variety Gavran was taken for evaluation. Thirteen treatment combinations comprise of five treatments (Neem leaf powder (3, 5, 7 g/kg of seeds); turmeric powder (5, 10, 15%), Bael leaf powder (4, 5, 6%) and pepper powder @ 20, 25 and 30 g/kg of seed) along with control and two storage container: zip lock bag and plastic container. Seedlings stored in plastic container exhibited highest germination percent (71.92%), germination rate (2.77), root length(5.82 cm), shoot length (6.74 cm), seedling length (12.57 cm), seedling fresh weight (178.41 mg) seedling dry weight (18.91 g) vigor Index-I (916.12), vigor index-II (1.37), seedling growth rate (0.143), electrical conductivity (0.853 dSm-1) and root shoot ratio (1.167). Moreover, the seed treated with neem leaf powder @ 5 g/kg of seeds show best result in term of seed quality parameter viz germination (82.75%), germination rate (3.19), root length (4.83 cm), shoot length (9.16 cm), seedling length (17.24 cm), seedling fresh weight (191.44 g), seedling dry weight (23.65 g), vigor index I (1426.86), vigor index II (1.96), seedling growth rate (0.203), electrical conductivity (0.80 dSm-1) and root shoot ratio (1.133) as compared to control at the end of 3 months of storage. The study concluded that combining plastic containers with neem leaf powder @ 5 g/kg of seeds can expand the storability of onion seeds under ambient conditions.

Keywords: Seed encrustation, Onion, Organic, Storage container

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India.

*Corresponding author; Email: ekkanafisa1999@gmail.com

Citation: Ekka, N. and Rai, PK. (2023). Standardization of presowing organic seed encrustation treatments on seedling parameters of onion (Allium cepa) under ambient storage conditions. Vegetable Science 50(1): 65-72.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None.

Received: December 2022 Accepted: February 2023

Introduction

Onion (Allium cepa) having chromosome no. 2n = 16 is a member of the "Amaryllidaceae" family. It is thought to have been domesticated in the mountain region of Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Turkmenia and North Iran. It is a major bulb crop and an important commercial vegetable grown worldwide. Due to its highly valued flavor, scent and unique taste it is known as "Queen of kitchen". Onion bulb is rich source of carbohydrates (11.0 g), protein (1.2 g), fiber (0.6 g), and moisture (86.8 g) it also contains vitamins like A, C, thiamine, riboflavin and niacin it also contains minerals like phosphorus, calcium, sodium, iron and potassium. The genus Allium is characterized by the presence of remarkable sulphurcontaining compounds, which gives them their distinctive smell and pungency. India ranks first in area and second in production globally after China. In India, it is mainly grown in Maharashtra, Karnataka, Gujrat, Andhra Pradesh, Utter Pradesh, Odisha and Madhya Pradesh (Bhasker et al. 2020; Gupta et al. 2020; Mahajan et al. 2021; Gupta et al. 2021)

Onion seeds in general have poor longevity and lose viability rapidly under sub-tropical conditions. One of the major constraints in onion cultivation is the limited availability of vigorous seeds at the time of sowing due to poor storability under fluctuating ambient temperatures

[©] The Author(s) 2023. Open Access. This article is Published by the Indian Society of Vegetable Science, Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Jakhini, Varanasi-221305, Uttar Pradesh, India; Online management by www.isvsvegsci.in

and relative humidity. The second constraint is germination failure due to improper storage of true seeds and the third is true seeds of onion are hygroscopic in nature, high moisture content of seed encourages respiration, causing raise in heat, which favor the growth of storage molds and storage insect pests. However, lowering either of these factors during storage significantly increases storage life of seeds (Roberts 1972). Seed Encrusting is an important seed quality enhancement technique that involves the gradual accumulation of layers of adhesive and inert material on the seed together with the application of

insecticides, fungicides, nutrients and growth regulators that is completely covered, but the original seed shaped is retained. Encrustment on seed is generally used in the circumstances viz. when growers primarily intend to avoid double or multiple seeds during sowing and to maintain exact and consistent seed spacing (Malik *et al.* 2020).

Seed treatments with different botanicals and bioagents reported to be safest in comparison to chemical. Several bioagents have been declared to improve seed germination and vigor index in a variety of crops, including onion by lowering pre and post-emergence mortality. Many natural products of different plant parts have been explored for their insecticidal and anti-oxidative properties at field and storage levels. The principal purpose of storing seed of economic plants is to preserve planting stocks from one season until the next. Prehistoric man learned the necessity of this practice and developed the method of storing small guantities of seed for future use. Quality seeds are the cheapest input in modern agriculture. Therefore, the availability of viable and vigorous seed at planting time is very important for achieving targets of agriculture production because they act as a catalyst for exploiting the potential of other inputs. Hence, the present study was planned to standardize pre-sowing organic seed encrustation treatments on seedling parameters of onion under ambient conditions of storage.

Materials and Methods

The present study on standardization of pre-sowing organic seed encrustation treatment on seedling parameters of onion under ambient conditions of storage was conducted in a factorial CRD with four replications at Seed Testing Laboratory (Notified under Govt. of Uttar Pradesh), Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Naini Agricultural Institute (NAI), Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Prayagraj, UP. The different seed treatment combinations are T₀- Control, T₁-Neem leaf powder@ 3 g/kg of seeds, T₂- neem leaf extract-5 g/kg of seed, T₃- neem leaf extract @ 7 g/kg of seed, T₄- turmeric powder 5%, T₅- turmeric powder-10%, T₆- turmeric powder 15%, T₇- bael leaf extract 2%, T₈- bael leaf extract 4%, T₉- bael leaf extract-6%, T₁₀- pepper powder-5 g/kg of seed, T₁₁- pepper powder-10 g/kg of seed and T₁₂- pepper powder 20 g/kg of seed.

Seed treatment and preparation of seeds for storage

After recording the initial seed quality parameters, seeds of onion variety Gavran was dipped in a binder (corn starch) followed by a sprinkling of filler materials for uniform and effective coating it was shaken mechanically then the seed was dried for 5 hours in shade upto safe moisture content i.e 7% and then was packed in two types of container viz., zip lock bag, plastic container and then stored under ambient condition with $25 \pm 30^{\circ}$ C temperature with 95% RH (Relative Humidity) in Seed Testing Laboratory (Notified under Govt. of Uttar Pradesh), Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P.). Seed samples were taken every 4,8 and 12 weeks during the storage period of 12 weeks to evaluate the seedling parameters of onion (Allium cepa) seeds. Observation on germination percent by ISTA (2014), germination rate by Maguire (1962), shoot length(cm), root length(cm), seedling length(cm), seedling growth rate by Copeland (1976), seedling fresh weight, seedling dry weight, seedling vigor index I and seedling vigor index II by Abdul-Baki and Anderson (1973).

Statistical analysis

The observed data was statistically analyzed with the factorial concept as suggested by Steel and Torrie (1960). The data were analyzed by of variance using OPSTAT statistical software.

Results

In the present study, significant mean performance for seedling parameters were recorded by seed encrusted with neem leaf powder, turmeric powder, bael leaf powder, pepper powder as filler matter and using cornstarch as a common adhesive for 4, 8 and 12 weeks of storage period, respectively in Tables 1-6. There was a significant effect in terms of seedling parameters on onion using different botanicals. Germination percent decreased with the advancement of the storage period. The highest germination percent (71.462%) was recorded in plastic containers (C2) and the lowest (71.923%) was recorded in zip lock pouches (C1) at the end of storage period. The seed treated with neem powder (5 g/kg of seed, T₂) recorded maximum germination (82.75%) as compared to the T_o control (59.75%) and the lowest germination percent (65.75%) was recorded in T₃ i.e., neem leaf powder (7 g/kg of seed). The combination T₂C₂ recorded the maximum germination percent (84%), while T₃C₁ was recorded the lowest germination percent (71%) at the end of the storage period. The germination rate decreases with the advancement of the storage period. A higher germination rate was recorded in seed stored in plastic containers (2.773), whereas a lower germination rate was recorded in zip lock pouches (2.754). The seed treated with neem powder (5 g/kg of seed, T₂) recorded a maximum germination rate (3.19) as compared to the T_0 - control (2.30) and the lowest germination rate (2.71) was recorded in T₆-Turmeric powder (15%) and The

	Germination	Germination	Root	Shoot	Root	Seedling	Seedling	Seedling	Seed	Seed	seedling	
Treatment	(%)	rate	length	length	shoot	length	fresh weight	dry weight	vigor	vigor	growth	EC
	(70)	Tute	(cm)	(cm)	ratio	(cm)	(mg)	(<i>mg</i>)	index I	index II	rate	
C ₁	90.385	3.484	5.934	6.981	1.188	12.916	178.318	19.034	1173.361	1.726	0.080	0.488
C,	91.038	3.510	6.074	7.126	1.183	13.200	179.521	19.396	1208.193	1.772	0.088	0.565
F-Test	NS	NS	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
C.D. at 5%	-	-	0.062	0.061	0.004	0.122	0.348	0.158	25.485	0.034	0.005	0.053
S.Ed. (+)	0.846	0.033	0.031	0.031	0.002	0.061	0.174	0.079	12.776	0.017	0.003	0.027
S.Em	0.598	0.023	0.022	0.022	0.001	0.043	0.123	0.056	9.034	0.012	0.002	0.019
T _o	82.50	3.18	3.94	5.05	1.281	8.99	164.44	14.53	741.30	1.20	0.040	0.56
T ₁	91.25	3.52	6.84	7.88	1.152	14.72	183.03	20.19	1342.28	1.84	0.116	0.58
Τ,	96.50	3.72	8.34	9.38	1.124	17.72	192.19	23.93	1710.35	2.31	0.161	0.52
T,	90.00	3.47	5.10	6.15	1.205	11.25	175.56	17.87	1012.24	1.61	0.037	0.53
T_	94.00	3.62	8.01	9.04	1.129	17.05	188.24	23.26	1602.93	2.19	0.130	0.50
T_	88.50	3.41	6.26	7.31	1.168	13.57	181.00	19.84	1202.00	1.76	0.071	0.47
T ₆	88.25	3.40	4.83	5.86	1.212	10.69	173.48	17.34	943.17	1.53	0.039	0.52
T ₇	92.00	3.55	7.20	8.24	1.145	15.43	185.47	20.77	1420.16	1.91	0.117	0.53
T _s	91.75	3.54	5.42	6.47	1.194	11.89	175.69	18.40	1091.10	1.69	0.074	0.50
Т	91.75	3.54	4.13	5.16	1.250	9.28	170.06	15.97	851.22	1.46	0.030	0.56
T ₁₀	92.25	3.56	7.51	8.54	1.137	16.05	185.86	21.87	1481.19	2.02	0.136	0.54
T ₁₁	88.75	3.42	5.82	6.94	1.193	12.76	179.15	19.22	1131.95	1.71	0.082	0.52
T ₁₂	91.75	3.54	4.66	5.70	1.225	10.36	171.78	16.60	951.85	1.52	0.061	0.52
F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	NS
C.D. at 0.5%	4.302	0.165	0.158	0.156	0.010	0.312	0.887	0.402	64.974	0.086	0.014	-
SEd	2.157	0.083	0.079	0.078	0.005	0.157	0.445	0.202	32.574	0.043	0.007	0.068
SEm	1.525	0.059	0.056	0.055	0.004	0.11	0.314	0.143	23.033	0.030	0.005	0.048

Table 1: Mean performance of container and treatments of seed quality parameters of onion variety Gavran after four weeks of storage

Table 2: Interaction effect of treatments and container on seedling parameters of onion variety Gavran at four weeks of storage

Treatment	Germination (%)	Germination rate	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root shoot ratio	Seedling length (cm)	Seedling Fresh weight (mg)	Seedling Dry weight (mg)	Seed vigor I	Seed vigor II	Seedling growth rate	EC
$T_0 x C_1$	82.00	3.16	3.87	5.04	1.303	8.90	163.93	14.28	729.49	1.17	0.032	0.57
$T_1 x C_1$	91.00	3.51	6.71	7.74	1.154	14.45	182.55	20.06	1314.27	1.83	0.085	0.53
$T_2 x C_1$	96.50	3.72	8.26	9.27	1.122	17.52	190.95	23.67	1690.69	2.28	0.140	0.45
T ₃ xC ₁	85.00	3.28	5.06	6.11	1.208	11.17	175.01	17.42	949.38	1.48	0.039	0.46
$T_4 x C_1$	93.00	3.59	7.89	8.92	1.131	16.81	187.88	23.08	1563.02	2.15	0.117	0.48
T ₅ xC ₁	88.50	3.41	6.26	7.31	1.168	13.58	180.42	19.76	1202.83	1.75	0.062	0.49
T ₆ xC ₁	88.50	3.41	4.71	5.75	1.221	10.46	173.05	17.45	925.65	1.54	0.051	0.47
$T_7 x C_1$	91.50	3.53	7.13	8.16	1.145	15.28	184.38	20.59	1398.21	1.88	0.134	0.45
T ₈ xC ₁	92.50	3.57	5.36	6.40	1.194	11.76	174.64	18.24	1088.29	1.68	0.073	0.48
T ₉ xC ₁	92.50	3.57	4.05	5.10	1.260	9.14	170.40	15.77	845.35	1.46	0.018	0.48
$T_{10}xC_{1}$	92.00	3.55	7.42	8.45	1.139	15.87	185.15	21.67	1460.54	1.99	0.132	0.50
T ₁₁ xC ₁	89.50	3.45	5.74	6.79	1.183	12.54	178.84	19.17	1122.05	1.72	0.085	0.47
$T_{12}xC_{1}$	92.50	3.57	4.70	5.73	1.221	10.44	170.95	16.30	967.19	1.51	0.077	0.54
$T_0 x C_2$	83.00	3.20	4.02	5.06	1.259	9.08	164.95	14.78	753.12	1.23	0.049	0.56
$T_1 x C_2$	91.50	3.53	6.97	8.02	1.151	14.98	183.51	20.32	1370.30	1.86	0.147	0.62
$T_2 x C_2$	96.50	3.72	8.43	9.49	1.126	17.93	193.42	24.20	1730.02	2.34	0.181	0.59
T_3xC_2	95.00	3.66	5.14	6.18	1.202	11.32	176.11	18.32	1075.09	1.74	0.036	0.61
$T_4 x C_2$	95.00	3.66	8.13	9.16	1.127	17.29	188.59	23.45	1642.83	2.23	0.142	0.52
$T_5 x C_2$	88.50	3.41	6.26	7.31	1.168	13.57	181.58	19.92	1201.17	1.76	0.081	0.45
$T_6 x C_2$	88.00	3.39	4.96	5.97	1.204	10.92	173.91	17.24	960.69	1.52	0.027	0.57
$T_7 x C_2$	92.50	3.57	7.27	8.32	1.144	15.59	186.57	20.95	1442.11	1.94	0.099	0.62
T ₈ xC ₂	91.00	3.51	5.48	6.54	1.194	12.02	176.75	18.57	1093.91	1.69	0.076	0.52
$T_9 x C_2$	91.00	3.51	4.21	5.22	1.240	9.43	169.73	16.17	857.09	1.47	0.041	0.63
$T_{10}xC_{2}$	92.50	3.57	7.61	8.63	1.134	16.23	186.57	22.07	1501.83	2.04	0.140	0.58
$T_{11}xC_2$	88.00	3.39	5.89	7.09	1.203	12.98	179.46	19.27	1141.86	1.70	0.079	0.57
$T_{12}xC_{2}$	91.00	3.51	4.62	5.67	1.229	10.29	172.62	16.90	936.51	1.54	0.045	0.51
F-Test	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	NS
C.D. at 5%	-	-	-	-	0.015	-	-	-	-	-	0.019	-
S.Ed. (+)	3.050	0.117	0.112	0.111	0.007	0.221	0.629	0.285	46.066	0.061	0.010	0.097
S.Em	2.157	0.083	0.079	0.078	0.005	0.157	0.445	0.202	32.573	0.043	0.007	0.068

Treatment	Germination (%)	Germination rate	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root shoot ratio	Seedling length (cm)	Seedling Fresh weight (mg)	Seedling Dry weight (mg)	Seed vigor I	Seed vigor II	seedling growth rate	EC
C ₁	87.269	3.362	5.809	6.828	1.187	12.637	178.017	18.893	118.419	1.654	0.142	0.629
C,	87.654	3.376	5.939	6.975	1.184	12.915	178.968	19.213	1138.635	1.691	0.145	0.714
F-Test	NS	NS	NS	S	NS	S	S	NS	S	NS	NS	S
C.D. at 0.5%	-	-	-	0.145	-	0.235	0.471	-	29.978	-	-	0.051
S.Ed. (+)	0.858	0.033	0.086	0.073	0.019	0.118	0.236	0.194	15.029	0.023	0.003	0.026
S.Em	0.607	0.023	0.061	0.052	0.013	0.083	0.167	0.137	10.627	0.016	0.002	0.018
T _o	80.50	3.10	3.78	4.86	1.286	8.63	164.11	14.38	694.63	1.16	0.088	0.71
T ₁	88.25	3.40	6.65	7.73	1.162	14.38	182.71	20.04	1268.53	1.77	0.167	0.73
T,	92.75	3.57	8.21	9.27	1.128	17.48	191.81	23.79	1621.74	2.21	0.205	0.66
T,	85.75	3.30	4.97	6.01	1.211	10.98	175.22	17.73	941.37	1.52	0.114	0.67
T₄	91.50	3.52	7.89	8.90	1.127	16.79	187.92	23.11	1537.09	2.11	0.193	0.65
T,	86.50	3.33	6.14	7.17	1.169	13.31	180.69	19.68	1151.93	1.70	0.149	0.63
T	85.50	3.29	4.71	5.73	1.217	10.44	173.19	17.23	892.06	1.47	0.109	0.63
T ₇	87.75	3.38	7.07	8.11	1.146	15.18	185.17	20.64	1331.69	1.81	0.179	0.66
T ₈	88.00	3.39	5.28	6.33	1.199	11.62	175.37	18.28	1022.94	1.61	0.128	0.62
Τ	87.75	3.38	4.02	5.00	1.244	9.02	169.72	15.83	791.33	1.39	0.095	0.70
T ₁₀	88.50	3.41	7.38	8.39	1.137	15.78	185.51	21.74	1397.04	1.92	0.184	0.67
T ₁₁	85.00	3.27	5.66	6.66	1.177	12.33	178.84	19.08	1047.61	1.60	0.142	0.66
T ₁₂	89.25	3.44	4.60	5.57	1.212	10.16	171.45	16.45	907.90	1.46	0.106	0.67
F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	NS
C.D. at 5%	4.365	0.169	0.439	0.371	0.096	0.600	1.201	0.986	76.429	0.116	0.016	-
S.Ed. (+)	2.189	0.085	0.220	0.186	0.048	0.301	0.602	0.495	38.316	0.058	0.008	0.065
S.Em	1.548	0.060	0.156	0.131	0.034	0.213	0.426	0.350	27.094	0.041	0.006	0.046

Table 3: Mean performance of container and treatments of seed quality parameters of onion variety Gavran after eight weeks of storage

Table 4: Interaction effect of treatments and container on seedling parameters of onion variety Gavran at eight weeks of storage

	a	a	Root	Shoot	Root	Seedling	Seedling	Seedling		<u> </u>	Seedling	
Treatment	Germination	Germination	length	length	shoot	length	Fresh weight	Dry weight	Seed	Seed	growth	EC
	(%)	rate	(cm)	(cm)	ratio	(cm)	(mg)	(mg)	vigor i	vigor II	rate	
T ₀ xC ₁	80.50	3.10	3.67	4.76	1.300	8.43	163.60	14.14	678.14	1.14	0.081	0.71
T ₁ xC ₁	89.00	3.43	6.48	7.57	1.168	14.05	182.24	19.90	1249.77	1.77	0.158	0.69
T ₂ xC ₁	92.50	3.56	8.12	9.16	1.128	17.27	190.59	23.55	1597.42	2.18	0.203	0.57
T ₃ xC ₁	80.50	3.10	4.90	5.98	1.220	10.88	174.65	17.29	875.75	1.39	0.112	0.59
T ₄ xC ₁	90.50	3.49	7.76	8.77	1.130	16.53	187.57	22.91	1495.81	2.07	0.187	0.65
T₅xC₁	86.50	3.33	6.15	7.17	1.166	13.33	180.10	19.57	1154.05	1.69	0.152	0.68
T ₆ xC ₁	86.00	3.31	4.61	5.64	1.224	10.25	172.80	17.34	881.56	1.49	0.112	0.58
T ₇ xC ₁	89.00	3.43	7.01	8.01	1.143	15.01	184.15	20.46	1335.90	1.82	0.178	0.58
T ₈ xC ₁	87.50	3.37	5.23	6.27	1.199	11.50	174.36	18.11	1006.82	1.58	0.125	0.61
T ₉ xC ₁	89.50	3.45	3.97	4.96	1.250	8.92	170.09	15.63	798.23	1.40	0.091	0.62
$T_{10}xC_1$	86.50	3.33	7.31	8.32	1.138	15.63	184.89	21.54	1352.91	1.86	0.182	0.63
T ₁₁ xC ₁	86.50	3.33	5.73	6.57	1.147	12.30	178.56	19.03	1064.16	1.65	0.144	0.61
$T_{12}xC_{1}$	90.00	3.47	4.59	5.59	1.219	10.19	170.64	16.15	918.95	1.45	0.114	0.69
$T_0 x C_2$	80.50	3.10	3.89	4.95	1.273	8.84	164.62	14.62	711.12	1.18	0.096	0.72
$T_1 x C_2$	87.50	3.37	6.83	7.89	1.155	14.71	183.18	20.18	1287.29	1.77	0.176	0.76
$T_2 x C_2$	93.00	3.58	8.31	9.38	1.129	17.70	193.03	24.04	1646.06	2.24	0.207	0.75
T_3xC_2	91.00	3.50	5.03	6.04	1.201	11.07	175.79	18.18	1006.98	1.65	0.116	0.75
$T_4 x C_2$	92.50	3.56	8.03	9.03	1.125	17.06	188.26	23.32	1578.38	2.16	0.199	0.65
$T_5 x C_2$	86.50	3.33	6.12	7.17	1.172	13.29	181.27	19.78	1149.81	1.71	0.145	0.59
$T_6 x C_2$	85.00	3.27	4.81	5.82	1.210	10.62	173.58	17.13	902.55	1.46	0.107	0.68
$T_7 x C_2$	86.50	3.33	7.14	8.21	1.150	15.35	186.20	20.82	1327.49	1.80	0.179	0.75
T ₈ xC ₂	88.50	3.41	5.34	6.40	1.199	11.74	176.39	18.46	1039.06	1.63	0.132	0.63
T_9xC_2	86.00	3.31	4.08	5.05	1.238	9.13	169.36	16.03	784.44	1.38	0.099	0.77
$T_{10}xC_{2}$	90.50	3.49	7.46	8.47	1.136	15.92	186.13	21.94	1441.18	1.98	0.186	0.71
$T_{11}xC_{2}$	83.50	3.22	5.60	6.75	1.207	12.35	179.13	19.13	1031.05	1.56	0.140	0.71
$T_{12}xC_{2}$	88.50	3.41	4.60	5.54	1.205	10.14	172.26	16.75	896.86	1.47	0.097	0.66
F-Test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
C.D. at 5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S.Ed. (+)	3.095	0.120	0.311	0.263	0.068	0.425	0.851	0.699	54.187	0.082	0.012	0.092
S.Em	2.189	0.085	0.220	0.186	0.048	0.301	0.602	0.495	38.316	0.058	0.008	0.065

Fable 5: Mean performance o	f container and treatments	of seed quality parameters of	of onion variety Gavran af	ter twelve weeks of storage
		or seea quanty parameters a		ter there heers of storage

Treatment	Germination (%)	Germination rate	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root shoot ratio	Seedling length (cm)	Seedling Fresh weight (mg)	Seedling Dry weight (mg)	Seed vigor I	Seed vigor II	seedling growth rate	EC
C ₁	71.462	2.754	5.683	6.675	1.186	12.358	177.716	18.751	892.902	1.350	0.139	0.771
C ₂	71.923	2.773	5.825	6.745	1.167	12.570	178.415	18.914	916.128	1.372	0.143	0.853
F-Test	NS	NS	S	NS	NS	S	S	NS	NS	NS	NS	S
C.D. at 5%	-	-	0.103	-	-	0.198	0.521	-	-	-	-	0.056
S.Ed. (+)	0.994	0.038	0.052	0.076	0.016	0.099	0.261	0.146	12.270	0.022	0.003	0.028
S.Em	0.703	0.027	0.037	0.054	0.011	0.070	0.185	0.103	8.676	0.015	0.002	0.020
T _o	59.75	2.30	3.61	4.67	1.293	8.28	163.78	14.23	496.26	0.85	0.085	0.86
T ₁	72.25	2.79	6.47	7.58	1.172	14.05	182.39	19.89	1015.10	1.44	0.165	0.88
_T 2	82.75	3.19	8.08	9.16	1.133	17.24	191.44	23.65	1426.86	1.96	0.203	0.80
T ₃	65.75	2.54	4.83	5.88	1.217	10.71	174.88	17.60	702.93	1.15	0.111	0.80
T ₄	77.75	3.00	7.78	8.76	1.126	16.54	187.60	22.96	1285.71	1.79	0.191	0.80
T ₅	70.50	2.72	6.01	7.03	1.170	13.04	180.37	19.51	920.57	1.38	0.148	0.80
Т ₆	70.25	2.71	4.58	5.60	1.222	10.18	172.90	17.12	714.87	1.20	0.106	0.74
T ₇	71.50	2.76	6.95	7.98	1.148	14.92	184.87	20.51	1066.60	1.47	0.176	0.79
T ₈	67.75	2.61	5.15	6.20	1.204	11.35	175.05	18.16	769.01	1.23	0.125	0.74
T ₉	76.25	2.94	3.92	4.85	1.238	8.76	169.38	15.69	667.98	1.20	0.092	0.84
T ₁₀	76.25	2.94	7.25	8.25	1.137	15.50	185.16	21.61	1180.83	1.65	0.180	0.80
T ₁₁	67.75	2.61	5.61	5.95	1.064	11.55	178.54	18.94	782.02	1.23	0.138	0.80
T ₁₂	73.50	2.83	4.57	5.35	1.174	9.92	171.11	16.30	729.96	1.15	0.113	0.82
F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	NS
C.D. at 5%	5.053	0.195	0.264	0.386	0.080	0.505	1.328	0.740	62.399	0.110	0.017	-
S.Ed. (+)	2.533	0.098	0.132	0.193	0.040	0.253	0.666	0.371	31.282	0.055	0.009	0.071
S.Em	1.791	0.069	0.093	0.137	0.029	0.179	0.471	0.262	22.120	0.039	0.006	0.050

combination T_2C_2 recorded the maximum germination rate (3.24) while T_6C_2 was recorded lowest germination rate (2.72) at the end of the storage period of 12 weeks.

Root length decreased with the advancement of the storage period. Higher root length was recorded in seed stored in plastic containers (6.074, 5.939, 5.825 cm), whereas the lower root length was recorded in zip lock pouches (5.934, 5.809, 5.683 cm). The seed treated with neem powder (5 g/ kg of seed, T₂) recorded maximum root length (8.34, 8.21, 8.08 cm) as compared to the (T_o) control (3.94, 3.78, 3.61 cm) and lowest root length (4.13, 4.02, 3.92 cm) was recorded in (T_{a}) bael leaf powder (6%). The combination $T_{a}C_{a}$ recorded the maximum root length (8.19 cm) while T_aC₁ was recorded lowest root length (3.89 cm) at the end of the storage period. Moreover, shoot length decreased with the advancement of the storage period, higher shoot length was recorded in seed stored in plastic containers (7.126, 6.975, 6.745 cm), whereas the lower shoot length was recorded in zip lock pouches (6.981, 6.828, 6.675 cm). The seed treated with neem powder (5 g/kg of seed) (T₂) recorded maximum shoot length (9.38, 9.27, 9.16 cm) as compared to the T_o control (5.05, 4.86, 4.67 cm) and lowest root length 5.16, 5.00, 4.85 cm was recorded in T₉-bael leaf powder (6%). The combination T₂C₂ recorded

the maximum shoot length (9.05 cm) while T_9C_1 was recorded the lowest shoot length (6.14 cm) at the end of the storage period. Root shoot ratio decreased with the advancement of storage period. Higher root shoot ratio was recorded in seed stored in plastic containers (1.183, 1.184, 1.167 cm), whereas a lower root shoot ratio was recorded in zip lock pouches (1.188, 1.187, 1.186 cm). The seed treated with neem powder (5 g/kg of seed, T_2) recorded maximum root shoot ratio (1.124, 1.128, 1.133) as compared to the control (1.281, 1.286, 1.293) and root shoot ratio (1.250, 1.244, 1.238) was recorded in (T_9) bael leaf powder (6%). The combination T_2C_2 recorded the maximum root shoot ratio (1.132) while T_9C_1 was recorded the lowest root shoot ratio (1.239) at the end of the storage period.

Seedling length decreased with the advancement of the storage period higher seedling length was recorded in seed stored in plastic container (13.200, 12.915, 12.570 cm) whereas the lower seedling length was recorded in zip lock pouches (12.916, 12.637, 12.358 cm). The seed treated with neem powder (5 g/kg of seed, T_2) recorded maximum seedling length (17.72, 17.48, 17.24 cm) as compared to the control (8.99, 8.63, 8.28 cm) and lowest seedling length (9.28, 9.02, 8.72 cm) was recorded in (T_9) bael leaf powder (6%). The combination T_2C_2 recorded the maximum seedling length

Treatment	Germination (%)	Germination rate	Root length (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root shoot ratio	Seedling length (cm)	Seedling Fresh weight (mg)	Seedling Dry weight (mg)	Seed vigor I	Seed vigor II	Seedling growth rate	EC
$T_0 x C_1$	54.00	2.08	3.47	4.49	1.298	7.96	163.27	14.00	429.48	0.76	0.077	0.85
T ₁ xC ₁	71.50	2.76	6.25	7.40	1.184	13.65	181.93	19.74	976.26	1.41	0.155	0.85
$T_2 x C_1$	81.50	3.14	7.98	9.05	1.134	17.02	190.23	23.43	1387.44	1.91	0.200	0.69
T ₃ xC ₁	71.00	2.74	4.74	5.85	1.234	10.59	174.29	17.16	751.72	1.22	0.109	0.72
T_4xC_1	76.50	2.95	7.63	8.62	1.130	16.25	187.26	22.74	1242.46	1.74	0.184	0.82
T₅xC₁	68.50	2.64	6.04	7.03	1.164	13.08	179.78	19.38	897.53	1.33	0.153	0.87
T ₆ xC ₁	70.00	2.70	4.51	5.53	1.226	10.04	172.55	17.23	702.66	1.21	0.108	0.69
T ₇ xC ₁	70.50	2.72	6.89	7.86	1.141	14.74	183.92	20.33	1039.07	1.43	0.175	0.71
T ₈ xC ₁	66.50	2.56	5.10	6.14	1.204	11.24	174.08	17.98	747.58	1.19	0.122	0.74
T ₉ xC₁	80.50	3.10	3.89	4.82	1.239	8.70	169.78	15.49	700.39	1.25	0.089	0.76
$T_{10}xC_1$	76.00	2.93	7.20	8.19	1.138	15.39	184.63	21.41	1168.27	1.63	0.178	0.76
$T_{11}xC_1$	68.50	2.64	5.72	6.35	1.111	12.07	178.28	18.89	826.75	1.29	0.141	0.75
$T_{12}xC_{1}$	74.00	2.85	4.48	5.45	1.218	9.94	170.33	16.00	738.15	1.18	0.117	0.84
$T_0 x C_2$	65.50	2.53	3.76	4.84	1.287	8.60	164.29	14.46	563.05	0.95	0.093	0.88
$T_1 x C_2$	73.00	2.81	6.69	7.76	1.160	14.44	182.85	20.04	1053.94	1.46	0.174	0.90
$T_2 x C_2$	84.00	3.24	8.19	9.27	1.132	17.47	192.64	23.88	1466.29	2.00	0.205	0.91
T_3xC_2	60.50	2.33	4.92	5.90	1.199	10.82	175.47	18.04	654.14	1.09	0.114	0.89
$T_4 x C_2$	79.00	3.05	7.93	8.90	1.122	16.83	187.93	23.19	1328.97	1.83	0.197	0.78
$T_5 x C_2$	72.50	2.80	5.98	7.03	1.176	13.01	180.96	19.64	943.61	1.42	0.143	0.73
$T_6 x C_2$	70.50	2.72	4.66	5.67	1.217	10.32	173.25	17.02	727.08	1.20	0.105	0.79
$T_7 x C_2$	72.50	2.80	7.01	8.10	1.156	15.11	185.83	20.69	1094.13	1.50	0.176	0.88
T ₈ xC ₂	69.00	2.66	5.20	6.26	1.204	11.46	176.03	18.35	790.43	1.27	0.128	0.74
$T_9 x C_2$	72.00	2.78	3.95	4.88	1.236	8.83	168.99	15.89	635.58	1.14	0.095	0.91
$T_{10} x C_{2}$	76.50	2.95	7.31	8.31	1.137	15.61	185.69	21.81	1193.38	1.67	0.182	0.84
$T_{11}xC_2$	67.00	2.58	5.50	5.54	1.016	11.04	178.80	18.99	737.30	1.17	0.135	0.85
$T_{12}xC_2$	73.00	2.81	4.65	5.24	1.130	9.89	171.90	16.60	721.78	1.12	0.110	0.81
F-Test	S	S	NS	NS	NS	NS	S	NS	S	NS	NS	NS
C.D. at 5%	7.146	0.276	-	-	-	-	1.878	-	88.245	-	-	-
S.Ed. (+)	3.582	0.138	0.187	0.274	0.057	0.358	0.942	0.525	44.240	0.078	0.012	0.100
S.Em	2.533	0.098	0.132	0.193	0.040	0.253	0.666	0.371	31.282	0.055	0.009	0.071

(17.47cm) while T_aC₁ was recorded lowest seedling length (8.70) at the end of the storage period. The fresh weight of seedlings decreases with the advancement of storage period higher seedling fresh weight was recorded in seed stored in plastic containers (179.521, 178.968, 178.415 mg), whereas the lower seedling fresh weight was recorded in zip lock pouches (178.318, 178.017, 177.716 mg) The seed treated with neem powder (5 g/kg of seed, T_{2}) recorded maximum seedling fresh weight (192.19, 191.81, 191.44 mg) as compared to the (T_a) control (164.44, 164.11, 163.39 mg) and lowest seedling fresh weight (170.06, 169.72, 169.38 mg) was recorded in (T_o) bael leaf powder. The combination T₂C₂ recorded the maximum seedling fresh weight (192.64 mg) while T_aC₂ was recorded the lowest seedling fresh weight (168.99 mg) at the end of the storage period of 12 weeks. Moreover, seedling dry weight decreased with the advancement of storage period. Higher seedling dry weight was recorded in seed stored in plastic

containers (19.396,19.213,18.914 mg), whereas the lower seedling dry weight was recorded in zip lock pouches (19.034, 18.893, 18.751 mg). The seed treated with neem powder (5g/kg of seed, T_2) recorded maximum seedling dry weight (23.93, 23.79, 23.65 mg) as compared to the control (14.53, 14.38, 14.23 mg) and lowest seedling dry weight (15.97, 15.83. 15.69 mg) was recorded in (T_9) bael leaf powder. The combination T_2C_2 recorded the maximum seedling dry weight (23.88 mg) while T_9C_2 recorded the lowest seedling fresh weight (15.89 mg) at 12 weeks of storage.

Vigor index I decreased with advancement of storage higher vigor index I was recorded in seed stored in a plastic container (1208.193, 1138.635, 916.128) whereas the lower vigor index I was recorded in zip lock pouches (1173.361, 118.419, 892.902) The seed treated with neem powder (5 g/kg of seed, T_2) recorded maximum seedling growth rate (1710.35, 1621.74, 1426.86) as compared to the control

(741.30, 694.63, 496.26) and lowest seedling growth rate (851.22, 791.33, 667.98) was recorded in (T_a) bael leaf powder. The combination T,C, recorded the maximum vigor index I (1466.29), while $T_{a}C_{1}$ recorded the lowest vigor index I (700.39) at the end of the storage period. Further, the vigor index II decreased with the advancement of storage. Higher vigor index II was recorded in seed stored in plastic containers (1.772, 1.691, 1.372), whereas the lower vigor index II was recorded in zip lock pouches (1.726, 1.654, 1.350). The seed treated with neem powder (5 g/kg of seed) recorded maximum vigor index II (2.13, 2.21, 1.96) as compared to the control (1.20, 1.16, 0.85) and lowest vigor Index II (1.46, 1.39, 1.20) was recorded in bael leaf powder (6%). The combination T_2C_2 recorded the maximum vigor index II (2.00) while T_2C_1 was recorded the lowest vigor index II (1.25) at the end of 12 week storage period. The electrical conductivity decreased with the advancement of storage. Higher EC was recorded in seed stored in plastic containers (0.565, 0.714, 0.853), whereas the EC was recorded in zip lock pouches (0.488, 0.629, 0.771). The seed treated with neem powder (5 g/kg of seed) recorded lower EC (0.52, 0.66, 0.80) as compared to the control (0.56, 0.71, 0.86) and EC (0.56, 0.70, 0.84) was recorded in (T_o). The combination T_2C_2 recorded the maximum EC (0.91) while T_2C_1 recorded lowest EC (0.76) at the end of the storage period.

Discussion

It was observed that onion seeds when encrusted with neem leaf powder @ 5 g/kg of seeds, there were higher germination percentage, germination rate, root length, shoot length, root shoot ratio, seedling length, seedling growth rate, vigor index I, vigor index II and electrical conductivity was recorded high after twelve weeks of storage. The better performance of neem leaf powder might be because it contains quercetin (flavonoid), nimbosterol, and a number of liminoids. These properties are having antibacterial and antifungal properties that may lengthen seed longevity in storage. Neem products may also repeal insects, stop their feeding, inhibit reproduction, and cause other interruption (Schumuttere 1990). However, Khatun et al. (2011) reported that the lentil seeds were treated with neem leaf powder and stored till next planting time it has improved the seed quality such as moisture content, germination capacity, root length, shoot length of the seedling and vigor index. Srimathi et al. (2013) reported that p. pinnate seed when pelleted with neem leaf powder, it maximized the seedling germination at the end of 9 month of storage Followed by turmeric powder as it contains demethoxycurcumin,5-methoxycurcumin are found to be natural antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and the lowest was found in bael leaf powder. Overall, plastic container performed best compared to zip lock pouches, and these results support the findings of Khan et al. (2018). It is concluded from this study that botanicals leaf powders seed encrustation can be used to improve the longevity of seeds through protecting the seeds from fungal and

insect attack but also improve the seeds quality, the onion variety Gavran when encrusted with neem leaf powder @ 5 g/kg of seed performed better in terms of germination percent, germination rate, root length, shoot length, root shoot ratio, seedling length, seedling fresh weight, seedling dry weight, seedling growth rate, vigor index I, vigor index II, electrical conductivity as compared to other organic encrusted treatment. Seeds packed in plastic containers recorded higher germination percent, germination rate, root length, shoot length, root shoot ratio, seedling length, seedling fresh weight, seedling dry weight, seedling growth rate, vigor index I, vigor index II, electrical conductivity as compared to zip lock pouches at the end of twelve weeks. The study concluded that the combination of plastic container with neem leaf powder @ 5 g/kg of seed is the best combination that could be used to expand the storability of onion seeds under ambient conditions of storage.

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the Head and Faculties, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences for providing necessary help during the study.

References

- Abdul-Baki AA, Anderson JD (1973). Vigor determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. Crop Science 13:630-633.
- Bhasker P, Gupta PK, Rayte BP et al. (2020) Modern NHRDF onion storage structure under sub-tropical conditions. Vegetable Science 47(2):261-265
- Geethan P and Ponnuswamy AS (2008) effect of presowing treatment in aggregatum onion. International Journal of Plant Sciences 3(2):582-586.
- Gupta AJ, Anandhan S., Mahajan V et al. (2020) Confirmation of hybridity in DOGR hybrids of onion (Allium cepa L.) using SSR markers. Vegetable Science 47(2):183-188.
- Gupta AJ, Mahajan V et al., (2021) Response to selection through introgression breeding in onion (Allium cepa L.).Vegetable Science 48(1):49-55.
- Khan AA, Sarker KU, Haque MM, Rubayet MT and Mian IH (2018) Storage container, seed moisture level and storage condition effects on germination and prevalence of seed-borne fungi of onion seed. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research: D Agriculture and Veterinary 18(3)
- Mahajan V, Manjunathagowda DC et al. (2021) Onion (Allium cepa L.): Breeding for quality traits and export. Vegetable Science 48(2):123-135.
- Malik R, Kumar J and Hilli S (2020) Encrustation novel technique for improving growth performance in onion cv. Pusa Red. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research 10(6):143-148.
- Roberts EH (1972) The viability of rice seeds. Chapman and Hall, London, UK.
- Srimathi P, Mariappan N, Sundaramoorthy L and Paramathma M (2013) effect of organic seed pelleting on seed storability and quality seedling production in biofuel tree species. Journal of Horticulture and Forestry 5(5):68-73.

सारांश

वर्तमान अध्ययन फैक्टोरियल सी.आर.डी. में 4 पुनारावृत्ति के माध्यम से प्याज की किस्म 'गबरान' का मूल्यांकन 13 उपचार संयोंजो जिनमें 5 उपचारों (नीम पत्ती पाउडर, 3, 5, 7 ग्राम/किग्रा. बीजों); हल्दी पाउडर (5 प्रतिशत, 10 प्रतिशत, 15 प्रतिशत), बेल पत्ती पाउडर (4 प्रतिशत, 5 प्रतिशत, 6 प्रतिशत) तथा मिर्च पाउडर (20, 25 व 30 ग्राम प्रति किग्रा. बीज) नियंत्रक के साथ समाहित कर एवं दो भण्डारण पातों जीप लाक बैग तथा प्लास्टिक पात में रखकर किया गया। प्लास्टिक पात में रखे गयेबीजों का सबसे अधिक जमाव (71.92 प्रतिशत), जमावदर (2.77), जड़ की लम्बाई (5.82 सेमी.) प्ररोह लम्बाई (6.74 सेमी.), नवोद्भिद पौध की लम्बाई (12.57 सेमी.) नवोद्भिद पौध का ताजा भार (178.41 मिग्रा.), नवोद्भिद पौध की शुष्क भार (18.91 ग्राम), ओज गुणांक- प् (916.12, ओज गुणांक- प्प (1.37), नवोद्भिद पौध का ताजा भार (178.41 मिग्रा.), नवोद्भिद पौध की शुष्क भार (18.91 ग्राम), ओज गुणांक- प् (916.12, ओज गुणांक- प्प (1.37), नवोद्भिद पौध क्य वृद्धि दर (0.143), विद्युत चालकता (0.853 डी.एस.एम.-1) तथा जड़-प्ररोह अनुपात (1.167) पाया गया। इसके अतिरिक्त नीम पत्ती पाउडर की दर 5 ग्राम प्रति किग्रा. की दर से शोधित बीजों से सबसे उत्तम परिणाम गुणवत्ता घटकों जैसे-जमाव (82.75 प्रतिशत) हमाव दर (3.19), जड़ की लम्बाई (4.83 सेमी.), प्ररोह की लम्बाई (9.16 सेमी.), नवोद्भिद पौध की लम्बाई (17.24 सेमी.), नवोद्भिद पौध का ताजा भार (191.44 ग्राम), नवोद्भिद पौध का शुष्क भार (23.65 ग्राम), ओज गुणांक-प् (1426.86), ओज गुणांक- प्प (1.96), नवोद्भिद पौध का विकास दर (0.203), विद्युत चालकता (0.80 डी.एस.एम.-1) तथा जड़ प्ररोह अनुपात (1.133) नियंत्रक की तुलना में 3 महीने के भण्डारण उपरान्त पाया गया। अध्ययन से स्पष्ट हुआ कि प्लास्टिकि पात में नीम पत्ती पाउडर की 5 ग्राम/किग्रा. बीजों के साथ रखने से सामान्य तापक्रम की दशा में भण्डारण के समय में वृद्धि की जा सकती है।